Research Article_

Evaluation of a Social Determinant of Health: Academic Achievement through Physical Education Policy

Vanessa Rodriguez, EdD, PA-C Assistant Professor Department of Physician Assistant Seton Hall University rodrigvb@shu.edu

Daniel Gutmore, PhD
Senior Faculty Associate
Department of Education Leadership,
Management and Policy
Seton Hall University
South Orange, NJ

David B. Reid, PhD
Assistant Professor
Department of Education Leadership,
Management and Policy
Seton Hall University
South Orange, NJ

Erick Alfonso, EdD Adjunct Professor Department of Educational Leadership Ramapo College of New Jersey Mahwah, NJ

Abstract

Physical activity can meaningfully influence educational outcomes. However, physical activity among youth remains insufficient (World Health Organization, 2018). Youth who are not physically active are more likely to encounter chronic health issues including obesity, depression, and anxiety (Bartelink et al., 2019, Bélair et al., 2018, Krebs, 2003). School-sponsored physical education (PE) is one way for students to participate in physical activity. Nevertheless, many school administrators respond to pressures to increase student standardized test scores by replacing access to PE with more time in tested subjects (Center on Education Policy, 2007, 2008). This study explores national associations of PE policies and academic achievement on standardized tests through a fixed effects panel data analysis. Findings enhance the limited literature on PE policy associations with academic achievement.

Key Words

education policy, education administration, academic achievement, physical education, physical activity

One way to help youth do well academically is through physical activity (PA). PA improves cognitive learning in a child's formative years, in addition to improving their physical, mental, and fiscal health. Physical education (PE) structures PA for students in schools. Originally, policies were developed to guide implementation of PE availability and quality in schools.

However, although numerous studies have focused on PA as a conduit to maintaining physical emotional, financial, and social health (Cawley et al., 2013; Christiansen et al., 2018; Kosteas, 2012), limited studies have been conducted to see if PE policies are working to impact academic achievement. The World Health Organization (WHO) and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have also advocated for PA due to the positive effects of PA on an individual's whole being (WHO, 2018; CDC, 2018) the rise in incidences of obesity, and the lack of policy and oversight for K–12 PE.

Given the lack of research in this area, this study examined the association between PE policies and academic achievement.

The study focused on PE policies and academic achievement in mathematics and reading results of eighth graders to capture the nature of increasing behavioral and cognitive dissonance (Wills et al., 2019), and increasing body mass index (Fryar et al., 2018) in the transition from childhood to adolescence occurring during this critical grade level. Furthermore, the study aligns with U. S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) objectives of increasing the proportion of eighth graders with math and reading skills at or above the proficient level (HHS, 2020).

This study investigated the following questions concerning PE and academic achievement:

- 1. Does the change in PE policies, as measured by mandated PE time, predict current mean reading performance in U.S. states?
- 2. Does the change in PE policies, as measured by mandated PE time, predict current mean math performance in U.S. states?
- 3. Does the change in PE policies, as measured by mandated teacher certification in PE, predict current mean reading performance in U.S. states?
- 4. Does the change in PE policies, as measured by mandated teacher certification in PE, predict current mean math performance in U.S. states?

Literature Review

The concept of physical fitness initially came to prominence in American culture in the early 19th century (Welch, 2004). European migration from countries such as Germany and Sweden inspired the concept of health and fitness through gymnastics. Soon after, the first school in the United States merged this fitness concept with education to offer PE. Welch (2004) explained the original intention of PE was to better prepare students physically for war.

Eventually, the importance of fitness and its impact on education was expanded in the 19th century by physicians in American universities with a goal to teach people how to take care of their bodies (Park, 1987). As Americans began to move into cities, the disease rate increased, and there was a decline in health and fitness (Roetert & Pate, 2019). However, interest in sport arose with the mandate for

youth to receive public education, and visionaries like John Dewey promoted educating the whole child and noted that playing games aided the child in learning; these factors helped to encourage PE in American public schools (Welch, 2004).

The influence of schools on student physical activity was highlighted through Kahan and McKenzie (2017) study of the association between school and neighborhood characteristics and student achievement on fitness tests. Due to the greater correlations between school characteristics and fitness achievement scores, the study suggested the school holds the responsibility for supporting students with the opportunity to engage in activity that can promote their health and wellbeing.

The study revealed school variables impacted children's PA more than did neighborhood variables. School variables included incidents of crime, availability of free and reduced lunch programs, and school size. Neighborhood variables included crime within neighborhoods, walkability within the town, and neighborhood demographics.

Furthermore, schools are in a unique position to promote recommendations from governmental organizations (Kahan and McKenzie, 2017). In 2015, the U.S. Congress passed the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), which identified PE as a critical component of a well-rounded education, thus allowing schools to allocate federal funds to PE. In 2017, schools began to receive federal funding for PE under the ESSA.

Policy analysts referred to lack of federal oversight embedded in the policy (Adler-Greene, 2019). The ESSA leaves the development and enforcement of educational legislation to the states, and in the process,

removes much of the state accountability from the federal government.

As schools are identified by the federal government as an agent to promote PA through physical education, PA can also positively impact personal finances, including as much as a 10% higher salary (Kosteas, 2012). Kosteas also found a relationship between PA and lower debt. Kosteas used a fixed effects model to control for variability such as the amount of vigorous exercise undertaken by a participant. Biddle and Asare (2011) studied mental health in correlation with PA, and the lack thereof, within a child and adolescent population.

Although they found inconsistent or small positive correlations between mental health and PA, the consistent correlations between sedentary lifestyle and its negative effect on cognition demonstrated positive impact of PA. The study concluded an association between PA and improvement in psychosocial outcomes among children and adolescents.

Several studies have analyzed the association between PE in schools and academic achievement. Carlson et al. (2008) explained that PE is linked with academic benefit, and the increased time in PE does not impact the academic performance of elementary students in a negative way. Dexter (1999) concluded that academic ability is maximized when students are involved in sports during PE. Ericsson (2008) studied 251 elementary students over a three-year period to analyze effects of lengthening physical education on motor skills, attention, and cognition. His findings indicated that students who have more days per week in physical education score higher in math, reading, and writing.

Comparatively, Tremarche et al. (2007) found that students that spent more time in PE achieved higher scores on standardized tests, while Sallis et al. (1999) found within a 2-year

study that doubling PE time raised reading scores. Some studies developed experimental designs to explore the association between PE and academic performance. Budde et al. (2008) conducted an experimental study including 115 teenagers between 13 and 16 years old at a high-performing school to look at the association between coordinated exercise and academic performance. He found that coordinated exercise improved academic scores. This supports the benefit PE has for students.

Design and Method

The research design selected for this study is non-experimental and correlational. Preexisting data from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) and Society of Health and Physical Educators (SHAPE America) *Shape of the Nation* report were analyzed using fixed effects panel regressions (NAEP, 1998, 2002, 2007, 2011, 2013, 2017; SHAPE America, 1997, 2001, 2006, 2010, 2012, 2016).

The dependent (outcome) variables were the NAEP reading and math scores, due to the study alignment with HHS objectives of increasing the proportion of eighth graders with math and reading skills at or above the proficient level (HHS, 2020).

The predictor variables were mandated PE time and teacher certification, since school-sponsored PE policies are a way to structure student participation in PA, PE availability, and PE quality in schools. Calendar year was included as a control variable in this study to account for natural changes in reading and math scores from year to year that may otherwise be confounded with changes in PE policies. Two data sources were analyzed to answer the research questions. The first data source was the NAEP mathematics and reading assessments. The assessments measured students'

mathematics and reading knowledge and were distributed to students across the nation. The data from the following years were reviewed: 1998, 2002, 2007, 2011, 2013, and 2017. The data are publicly available through the National Center for Education Statistics. The second data source used was the *Shape of the Nation* report. This is a national study and measured school policies specific to health. The study was conducted in 1997, 2001, 2006, 2010, 2012, and 2016. The data are publicly available through the SHAPE America website.

The NAEP and *Shape of the Nation* data were compiled into an electronic spreadsheet and imported into SPSS for statistical analysis. To conduct the fixed effects panel regressions, the data were entered in long format, meaning that each case represented data from one state for one year.

To account for the fact that the NAEP and *Shape of the Nation* data were not collected in the same calendar years and the fact that newly mandated PE policies need time to take effect, corresponding NAEP and *Shape of the Nation* data were offset by one year (e.g., *Shape of the Nation* data from 2016 was matched with NAEP data from 2017). Means and standard deviations were reported for continuous variables, and frequencies and percentages were reported for categorical variables.

Findings

Table 1 displays descriptive statistics for the study variables by year. The percentage of states with mandated PE time was highest in 2016 (n = 15, 29.4%), and the percentage of states with mandated teacher certification in PE was highest in 2010 (n = 47, 92.2%). The average reading (M = 266.30, SD = 6.00) and math (M = 283.91, SD = 7.23) performance were both highest in 2013.

Table 1Descriptive Statistics for Study Variables by Year

				ed Teacher	Reading	
	Mandated Time		Certi	fication	Score	Math Score
Year	Yes %	No %	Yes %	No %	M(SD)	M(SD)
1997-1998	17.6	82.4	56.9	43.1	260.44 (7.14)	*
2001-2002	13.7	86.3	68.6	31.4	262.55 (6.87)	*
2006-2007	0.0	100.0	84.3	15.7	262.08 (6.89)	280.62 (8.71)
2010-2011	23.5	76.5	92.2	7.8	264.67 (6.48)	283.49 (7.60)
2012-2013	25.5	74.5	82.4	17.6	266.30 (6.00)	283.91 (7.23)
2016-2017	29.4	70.6	84.3	15.7	265.41 (5.77)	281.88 (7.11)

Note. *Math scores were not available for these years.

A fixed effects panel regression was conducted to address the question of whether the change in PE policies, as measured by mandated PE time, predicts current mean reading performance in U.S. states. In this analysis, the dependent variable was NAEP reading score. The predictor variable was mandated PE time; this was a binary variable coded as 0 = no and 1 = yes. The model included dummy variables for state and an interval-level variable for year. All predictors were treated as fixed effects and entered in one step. The regression model was significant, F(52, 229) = 37.49, p < .001, R2 =

.90, Adjusted R2 = .87, where R2 is the effect size or percent of the dependent variable variation that could be predicted by the independent variable, indicating that the predictors collectively explained a significant proportion of variance in reading scores. Table 2 displays the results for the regression coefficients. Mandated PE time was a significant positive predictor of reading scores (B = 1.13, p = .047), indicating that states with mandated PE time tended to have higher reading scores in the following year.

 Table 2

 Coefficients for Regression Predicting Reading Score (Research Question 1)

						95% CI	
Variable	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower	Upper
(Constant)	-140.86	49.34		-2.86	.005	-238.08	-43.64
Mandated Time	1.13	0.56	0.07	1.99	.047	0.01	2.24
Year	0.20	0.03	0.18	8.12	<.001	0.15	0.25

Note. Coefficients for state dummy-coded variables are not displayed for concision.

A fixed effects panel regression was conducted to address the question of whether the change in PE policies, as measured by mandated PE time, predicts current mean math performance in U.S. states. In this analysis, the

dependent variable was NAEP math score. The predictor variable was mandated PE time; this was a binary variable coded as 0 = no and 1 = yes. The model included dummy variables for state and an interval-level variable for year. All

predictors were treated as fixed effects and entered in one step. The regression model was significant, F(52, 150) = 33.56, p < .001, R2 = .92, Adjusted R2 = .89, where R2 is the effect size or percent of the dependent variable variation that could be predicted by the independent variable, indicating that the predictors collectively explained a significant

proportion of variance in math scores. Table 3 displays the results for the regression coefficients. Mandated PE time was a significant positive predictor of math scores (B = 1.81, p = .017), indicating that states with mandated PE time tended to have higher math scores in the following year.

Table 3Coefficients for Regression Predicting Math Score (Research Question 2)

						95% CI		
Variable	В	Std. Error	Beta	T	Sig.	Lower	Upper	
(Constant)	181.02	103.92		1.74	.084	-24.31	386.35	
Mandated Time	1.81	0.75	0.10	2.42	.017	0.33	3.30	
Year	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.96	.337	-0.05	0.15	

Note. Coefficients for state dummy-coded variables are not displayed for concision.

A fixed effects panel regression was conducted to address the question of whether the change in PE policies, as measured by mandated teacher certification in PE, predicts current mean reading performance in U.S. states. In this analysis, the dependent variable was NAEP reading score.

The predictor variable was mandated teacher certification in PE; this was a binary variable coded as 0 = no and 1 = yes. The model included dummy variables for state and an interval-level variable for year. All predictors

were treated as fixed effects and entered in one step. The regression model was significant, F(52, 230) = 35.32, p < .001, R2 = .89, Adjusted R2 = .86, indicating that the predictors collectively explained a significant proportion of variance in reading scores.

Table 4 displays the results for the regression coefficients. Mandated teacher certification in PE was not a significant predictor of reading scores (B = -0.21, p = .654), indicating that mandated teacher certification in PE did not predict reading scores in the following year.

Table 4Coefficients for Regression Predicting Reading Score (Research Question 3)

						95% CI	
Variable	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower	Upper
(Constant)	-189.49	50.20		-3.78	<.001	-288.39	-90.58
Mandated Teacher	-0.21	0.47	-0.01	-0.45	.654	-1.13	0.71
Certification							
Year	0.22	0.03	0.21	8.95	<.001	0.17	0.27

Note. Coefficients for state dummy-coded variables are not displayed for concision.

A fixed effects panel regression was conducted to address the question of whether change in PE policies, as measured by mandated teacher certification in PE, predicts current mean math performance in U.S. states. In this analysis, the dependent variable was NAEP math score. The predictor variable was mandated teacher certification in PE; this was a binary variable coded as 0 = no and 1 = yes. The model included dummy variables for state and an interval-level variable for year. All predictors were treated as fixed effects and entered in one

step. The regression model was significant, F(52, 150) = 32.37, p < .001, R2 = .92, Adjusted R2 = .89, indicating that the predictors collectively explained a significant proportion of variance in math scores. Table 5 displays the results for the regression coefficients. Mandated teacher certification in PE was not a significant predictor of math scores (B = 0.66, p = .383), indicating that mandated teacher certification in PE did not predict math scores in the following year.

 Table 5

 Coefficients for Regression Predicting Math Score (Research Question 4)

						95% CI	
Variable	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower	Upper
(Constant)	75.72	96.28		0.79	.433	-114.51	265.96
Mandated Teacher Certification	0.66	0.75	0.03	0.87	.383	-0.83	2.14
Year	0.10	0.05	0.05	2.13	.035	0.01	0.20

Note. Coefficients for state dummy-coded variables are not displayed for concision.

Discussion

The change in PE policies, as measured by mandated PE time, significantly predicted mean reading performance. Having mandated PE time predicted an average increase in reading scores of 1.13 points. The change in PE policies, as measured by mandated PE time, significantly predicted mean math performance. Having mandated PE time predicted an average increase in math scores of 1.81 points. The regression models were also significant, where R2 is the effect size or percent of the dependent variable variation that could be predicted by the independent variable, indicating that the predictors collectively explained a significant proportion of variance in math and reading scores. The change in PE policies, as measured by mandated teacher certification in PE, did not predict mean reading performance or math performance.

Research has suggested that policy enforcement leads to adherence to policies, as seen in the case of PE policy (Kahan & McKenzie, 2017). This study does not generally conclude that PE policies implicate increased academic scores. However, Carlson et al. (2008) and Tremarche et al. (2007) explained that more time in PE leads to higher academic achievement, which is reinforced in this study.

While looking at PE policies, only one policy is statistically significant: mandated PE time in minutes per week. This result implies that PE policy leads to increased academic scores—specifically, the PE policy that is shown to correlate with increased academic scores is PE time in minutes. PE teacher certification suggests a positive association with math scores and a negative association with reading scores; however, these results are insignificant.

Like previous studies, this study has shown that mandating PE time suggests higher reading scores (Ericsson, 2008; Sallis et al. 1999). Ericsson's 2008 findings indicated that students who have more days per week in PE score higher in reading, in addition to math. Similarly, Sallis et al. (1999) found within a 2-year study that doubling PE time raised reading scores. These findings parallel the outcome of this study, where results suggest that states with mandated PE time in minutes per week tend to have higher reading scores in the following year (B = 1.13, p = .047).

Previous research also has supported a link between PE time and higher math scores (Ericsson, 2008). Findings from Ericsson (2008) indicated that students who have more days per week in PE score higher in math. This finding parallels the outcome of this study, where results suggest that states with mandated PE time in minutes per week tend to have higher math scores in the following year (B = 1.81, p = .017); this study's results reveal that math scores the year following implementation of mandated PE time in minutes per week show a stronger positive effect than do reading scores. As scores are assessed over several years, these parallels show that scores in both math and reading increase from one year to the next, and these increases are attributed to PE policy, specifically in minutes per week.

There were some limitations to this research, including the inability to determine causation and the use of standardized tests as a measurement. The outcomes in this study cannot conclude an association between PE teacher certification and academic scores, and there may be reasons for this. Although not validated in this study, it is possible PE teachers have varied years of experience, and those with more years provide better quality of PE, which may improve academic scores. Also possible is that teachers may have differing levels of degrees, bachelor's or master's degrees, and that may influence academic scores.

Cardina and James (2021) emphasized CDC (2018) and SHAPE America (2016) statements explaining the mainstays of quality PE are PE teachers understanding the content and pedagogy of PE. If variables that affect levels of knowledge are confirmed, quality PE may be better understood and assessed, which may increase academic scores.

The academic scores in this study are another limitation. Scores are taken from one organization's standardized assessments. Policies mandating PE teacher certification may possibly result in high academic scores; however, these policies are not justified by this study. It may be that stratifying the results among different standardized tests or different ways to assess academic achievement may need to be investigated.

A concern with using standardized assessments is that students may demonstrate academic success in other ways. Achievement indicators such as showing mastery of material through application of tasks, writing, speaking, presenting ideas through clear and effective communication, and demonstrating original ideas and higher order thinking are other ways students may show they are achieving academically, but these are not captured in standardized assessments. Offering variations of academic assessments may result in different outcomes supporting previous research.

Further investigation into this topic is warranted given the limitations and results of this study. This study suggests that PE policy, specifically mandated time in minutes, is associated with academic achievement. Federal guidelines continue to urge increasing time for PE due to health benefit impacts for students. Further research may consider investigating why states choose not to mandate PE. Results could offer insight into barriers states face in

mandating time for PE and may introduce opportunities to resolve them.

To further understand how student involvement in physical education implicates academic achievement, school and district administrators should investigate underlying characteristics of PE classes: determining the exact length of classes, the types of activity students are engaged in during PE, and the intensity of physical activity students participate in.

Additionally, further research may be helpful in identifying how school leadership determines the integration of physical activity during the school day: whether structured during physical education, unstructured during other times in the day (i.e., free play), and how it is scheduled as traditional class periods or block schedules.

These factors can be comparatively evaluated alongside student achievement and offer more support for causation between the variables. This may guide school leaders to determine how much time in physical education they should allocate for students.

Furthermore, this study included national longitudinal data starting in 1997 and ending in 2017, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Due to government restrictions imposed on schools to ensure health and safety during the pandemic, schools cut down or eliminated in-person instruction time in PE, among other courses.

Future studies may evaluate implementation of PE policies at the school level since the start of the pandemic and associations with student academic achievement.

Overall, findings in this study are not conclusive, although results offer insight into PE policies across states over several years.

Findings enhance the limited literature on PE time mandates and their association with academic achievement, and this study suggests

that mandating PE time in minutes per week is associated with increased reading and math scores.

Author Biographies

Vanessa Rodriguez is an assistant professor at Seton Hall University. She has practiced as a K-12 science educator, healthcare provider, and health professions educator. Her areas of interest are education leadership, academic achievement, physical activity, and public health. E-mail: vanessa.rodriguez@shu.edu

Daniel Gutmore is a senior faculty associate at Seton Hall University. He was a teacher and practicing school and central office administrator for over 30 years, all in an urban school setting. His areas of interest are organizational theory, supervision of instruction, ethical decision-making and principal preparation process. E-mail: gutmorda@shu.edu

David Reid is an assistant professor of education leadership, management, and policy at Seton Hall University. His research focuses on principal leadership. He is currently researching the evolving job expectations of school leaders. E-mail: david.reid@shu.edu

Erick Alfonso is an instructional leader with a demonstrated history of innovating change in primary/secondary education. Skilled in transformational leadership, technology integration, data analysis and crisis management. Lifelong learner, with a passion for education and professional growth. E-mail: alfonso.education@gmail.com

References

- Adler-Greene, L. (2019). Every Student Succeeds Act: Are schools making sure every student succeeds? *Touro Law Review*, *35*(1). https://digitalcommons.tourolaw.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2939&context=lawreview
- Bartelink, N. H. M., Van Assema, P., Kremers, S. P. J., Savelberg, H. H. C. M., Oosterhoff, M., Willeboordse, M., Van Schayck, O. C. P., Winkens, B., & Jansen, M. W. J. (2019). Can the Healthy Primary School of the Future offer perspective in the ongoing obesity epidemic in young children? A Dutch quasi-experimental study. *BMJ Open*, *9*(10), 30676. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030676
- Bélair, M. A., Kohen, D. E., Kingsbury, M., & Colman, I. (2018). Relationship between leisure time physical activity, sedentary behaviour and symptoms of depression and anxiety: Evidence from a population-based sample of Canadian adolescents. *BMJ Open*, 8(10), 021119. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021119
- Biddle, S., & Asare, M. (2011). Physical activity and mental health in children and adolescents: A review of reviews. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *45*(11), 886–895. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090185
- Budde, H., Voelcker-Rehage, C., Pietrabyk-Kendziorra, S., Ribeiro, P., & Tidow, G. (2008). Acute coordinative exercise improves attentional performance in adolescents. *Neuroscience Letters*, 441(2), 219–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEULET.2008.06.024
- Cardina, C., & James, A. (2021). Significance of high-quality physical education teachers. *The Physical Educator*, 78(5). https://doi.org/10.18666/TPE-2021-V78-I5-9771
- Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Lee, S. M., Maynard, L. M., Brown, D. R., Kohl, H. W., & Dietz, W. H. (2008). Physical education and academic achievement in elementary school: Data from the early childhood longitudinal study. *American Journal of Public Health*, *98*(4), 721–727. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2007.117176
- Cawley, J., Frisvold, D., & Meyerhoefer, C. (2013). The impact of physical education on obesity among elementary school children. *Journal of Health Economics*, *32*(4), 743–755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2013.04.006
- Center on Education Policy. (2007). *Choices, changes, and challenges: Curriculum and instruction in the NCLB era*. http://www.cep-dc.org/ displayDocument.cfm?DocumentID=309
- Center on Education Policy. (2008). A call to restructure restructuring: Lessons from the No Child Left Behind Act in five states. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED503798.pdf
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018, June 15). Surveillance summaries. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 67(8). https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/yrbs/pdf/2017/ss6708.pdf

- Christiansen, L. B., Lund-Cramer, P., Brondeel, R., Smedegaard, S., Holt, A.-D., & Skovgaard, T. (2018). Improving children's physical self-perception through a school-based physical activity intervention: The Move for Well-being in School study. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, *14*, 31–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2017.12.005
- Dexter, T. (1999). Relationship between sport knowledge, sport performance and academic ability: Empirical evidence from GCSE Physical Education. General Certificate of Secondary Education. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *17*(4), 283–295. https://doi.org/10.1080/026404199366000
- Ericsson, I. (2008). Motor skills, attention and academic achievements. An intervention study in school years 1–3. *British Educational Research Journal*, *34*(3), 301–313. https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920701609299
- Every Student Succeeds Act, 20 U.S.C. § 6301 (2015). congress.gov/114/plaws/publ95/PLAW-114publ95.pdf
- Fryar, C. D., Carroll, M. D., & Ogden, C. L. (2018). Prevalence of overweight, obesity, and severe obesity among children and adolescents aged 2–19 years: United States, 1963–1965 through 2015–2016. *Health E-Stats*. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/analyticguidelines.aspx.
- Kahan, D., & McKenzie, T. L. (2017). School and neighborhood predictors of physical fitness in elementary school students. *Journal of School Health*, 87, 448–456.
- Kosteas, V.D., (2012) The effect of exercise on earnings: Evidence from the NLSY. *Journal of Labor Research*, *33*, 225–250. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12122-011-9129-2
- Krebs, N. F. (2003). Prevention of pediatric overweight and obesity. *Pediatrics*, *112*(2 I), 424–430. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.112.2.424
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (1998). *The Nation's Report Card*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics. https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/report_archive.aspx
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (2002). *The Nation's Report Card*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics. https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/report_archive.aspx
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (2007). *The Nation's Report Card*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics. https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/report_archive.aspx
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (2011). *The Nation's Report Card*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics. https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/report_archive.aspx

- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (2013). *The Nation's Report Card*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics. https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/report_archive.aspx
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (2017). *The Nation's Report Card*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics. https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/report_archive.aspx
- Park, R. J. (1987). Physiologists, physicians, and physical educators: Nineteenth century biology and exercise, "hygienic" and "educative." *Journal of Sport History*, *14*(1), 28–60.
- Roetert, P. E., & Pate R. R. (2019). A century of physical activity in the United States. *The Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 90(1), 3–6.
- Sallis, J. F., Lewis, M., McKenzie, T.L., Kolody, B., Marshall, S., & Rosengard, P. (1999). Effects of health-related physical education on academic achievement: Project SPARK. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 70(2), 127–134. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1999.10608030
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (1997). *Shape of the Nation Archives*. https://www.shapeamerica.org/advocacy/son/sonarchives.aspx
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (2001). *Shape of the Nation Archives*. https://www.shapeamerica.org/advocacy/son/sonarchives.aspx
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (2006). *Shape of the Nation Archives*. https://www.shapeamerica.org/advocacy/son/sonarchives.aspx
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (2010). *Shape of the Nation Archives*. https://www.shapeamerica.org/advocacy/son/sonarchives.aspx
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (2012). *Shape of the Nation Archives*. https://www.shapeamerica.org/advocacy/son/sonarchives.aspx
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (2016). *Shape of the Nation Archives*. https://www.shapeamerica.org/advocacy/son/sonarchives.aspx
- Tremarche, P., Robinson, E., & Graham, L. (2007). Physical education and its effect on elementary testing results. *Physical Educator*. https://vc.bridgew.edu/couns_ed_fac/13
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. (2020). *Healthy People 2030: Building a healthier future for all*. https://health.gov/healthypeople
- Welch, P. D. (2004). History of American physical education and sport (3rd ed.). Charles C. Thomas.

- Wills, H. P., Caldarella, P., Mason, B. A., Lappin, A., & Anderson, D. H. (2019). Improving student behavior in middle Schools: Results of a classroom management intervention. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 21(4), 213–227. https://doi.org/10.1177/1098300719857185
- World Health Organization. (2018). *Global Action Plan on Physical Activity 2018-2030: More active people for a healthier world.* https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272722/9789241514187-eng.pdf