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## InTRODUCTION

This edition of AASA, The School Superintendents Association's "Superintendents Salary and Benefits Study" marks the second year the association has undertaken a national study on public school superintendents' compensation. Until recently, most school superintendents have had to rely on the annual salary study sponsored by Education Research Service to benchmark their compensation and benefits. With the closure of ERS, a void was created for such data. AASA responded to this need by creating a comprehensive study of the salary and benefits of school superintendents that far exceeded previous studies undertaken on this topic. AASA is particularly wellsuited for this task because it represents the vast majority of school superintendents in the country and has been most active in collecting and disseminating to its members critical data needed to inform decision making. AASA is committed to refining this work over time, thus maximizing the benefit to superintendents. This work complements The American School Superintendent: 2010 Decennial Study (Kowalski et al. 2010) also sponsored by AASA and available from Roman \& Littlefield Publishers (https://rowman.com).

## Methodology

An extensive survey instrument was developed in 2012 with the assistance of Professors Theodore J. Kowalski (University of Dayton), I. Phillip Young (University of South Carolina), Terry Orr (Bank Street College) and Christopher C. Stream (University of Nevada, Las Vegas). This survey instrument was further refined for use in 2013 (see Section \#11) as a result of input received from superintendents participating in the 2012 study. Using a commercially prepared mailing list of American public school superintendents, more than 9,000 e-mail links were distributed during the month of September 2013. At the same time, state association executive directors were contacted to encourage their members to respond to the Internet survey. Superintendents had the capacity to forward the link to their staff members for input in completing the survey in their area of expertise. The response to the 2012 study numbered 1280 or about 14 percent, while the number of superintendents responding to the 2013 study nearly doubled to 2,369 or more than 25 percent.

## Report of Findings

This report of findings is divided into 11 sections and solely relies on measures of central tendency for this initial analysis of the data collected. These data were generally disaggregated by gender, district enrollment and racial/ethnic group. A significant
body of data was collected with the central core of the data reported in this document. Additional analysis will follow.

As with any ambitious effort of this sort, there are limitations on the proper use of the data that must be identified. Upon reflection and careful analysis, there are two limitations that should be taken into account when using these data. First, sufficient data was collected to guide superintendents in the development of their compensation packages save one important factor - in some cases, even with the near doubling of the response rate, the disaggregation by racial/ethnic group did not have a sufficient number of responses to support decision-making based on this category. Care should be exercised in drawing conclusions or inferences on this particular data element. Second, survey fatigue is apparent among superintendents and impacted the return rate. Superintendents are inundated with research requests resulting in selective participation in those studies seen as most important to the role of the superintendent or of benefit to their district. The dramatic growth in the 2013 response rate over that received in 2012 is an indication of the importance superintendents place on collecting these data, while the participation rate of just over a quarter of all superintendents is less than is desired and reasonably raises questions of whether the data fairly represents the entire population.

Having clearly identified the limitations inherent in any endeavor of this sort, the report that follows is rich with information that can prove useful to superintendents.

## Some Noteworthy Findings

For the purpose of bringing attention to some of the noteworthy findings, a few of those have been repeated below. Readers may also find particular interest in Section 10, which displays verbatim text of the unique contract provisions reported by responding superintendents. During the first year of this study, there has been great interest expressed in these redacted (to shield the identity of any respondent) contract provisions. Given that high level of interest, the responses from the 2012 study have been reprinted here along with the newly collected 2013 responses.

- A total of 2,369 usable responses were received for the 2013 study, or slightly over 25 percent of those contacted, which represents near double the responses received for the 2012 study, indicating greater interest on the part of superintendents in these data.
- Similar to the 2012 study, respondents arrayed by gender favor males over females in a slightly more than three to one ratio which is consistent to the respondents in The American School Superintendent: 2010 Decennial Study.
- Whites (not Hispanic) dominate the respondent ranks regardless of the gender.
- Central to the study of salary and benefits is understanding the respondents' perception of the economic condition of their district. Regardless of gender, nearly
half of the superintendents described their district as stable while more than four in 10 described their district as in declining economic condition.
- For those describing their district in declining economic condition, females outnumbered the males.
- When investigating the racial/cultural group and the respondents' description of the economic condition of their district, a greater percentage of minority superintendents found themselves in districts of declining economic condition than white superintendents.
- As expected, 2012-13 median salary increases with district enrollment. This growth more than doubles when the median salary for the smallest district is compared to the largest enrollment district.
- In most, but not all cases, across district enrollments, median salaries paid to females are slightly higher than those paid to male superintendents. In drawing conclusions from these data, one must take into consideration the relatively small count of respondents in the smallest and largest districts.
- As in 2012-13 median salaries overall, females were generally still compensated at a higher rate than males when arrayed by district size.
- Increases in median base salaries generally increased by 1-2 percent from the 2012-13 to 2013-14 school year.
- Constructing the metric ratios of median superintendent salary and median beginning teacher salary produced no appreciable change in recent years.
- Comparison of responses from the present study to the 2012-13 study indicate that nearly 10 percent more superintendents are now subject to an annual evaluation (85.1 to 94.3).
- Even with the growth in annual evaluations, only half of the respondent superintendents indicate that they have included the process, measures and indicators to be used in the formal evaluation in their employment agreement.
- Slightly more than four in 10 superintendents indicated that student outcomes/performance data are included in their evaluations. No trend data on this matter is yet available.
- Slightly more than one in 10 superintendents who responded indicated they have been rehired as a superintendent after retiring from that state's or another state's retirement system. This is a marker of both an aging superintendent population and potentially narrowing pool of individuals interested in entering the superintendency.
- Slightly more than half of the respondent superintendents indicated that the district used a legal counsel to negotiate the superintendent's employment agreement.
- In contrast to the use of legal counsel by the district, only about a quarter of respondent superintendents use the services of legal counsel themselves to negotiate their employment agreement.


## End Notes:

Many additional data elements were collected beyond those reported in this document. AASA members interested in investigating in greater depth a particular contract element beyond those reported herein and/or are interested in offering suggestions for improvement of this research undertaking are invited to directly contact Dr. Robert S. McCord (rmccord@aasa.org).

Special thanks is extended to co-authors Noelle Ellerson and Leslie Finnan for their expertise and tireless efforts to manage the nearly 500,000 cell spreadsheet containing the data collected in this study.

Robert S. McCord
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## SECTION \#1: GENERAL DEMOGRAPHICS OF RESPONDENTS

Table 1.1 Respondents count by state (Q3)

| State | Number of Responses | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 25 | 1.1\% |
| AK | 24 | 1.0\% |
| AR | 76 | 3.2\% |
| AZ | 45 | 1.9\% |
| CA | 86 | 3.6\% |
| CO | 33 | 1.4\% |
| CT | 33 | 1.4\% |
| DE | 1 | 0.0\% |
| DC | 0 | 0.0\% |
| FL | 3 | 0.1\% |
| GA | 29 | 1.2\% |
| HI | 2 | 0.1\% |
| ID | 21 | 0.9\% |
| IL | 190 | 8.0\% |
| IN | 109 | 4.6\% |
| IA | 82 | 3.5\% |
| KS | 56 | 2.4\% |
| KY | 26 | 1.1\% |
| LA | 13 | 0.5\% |
| ME | 23 | 1.0\% |
| MD | 6 | 0.3\% |
| MA | 32 | 1.4\% |
| MI | 116 | 4.9\% |
| MN | 73 | 3.1\% |
| MS | 15 | 0.6\% |
| MO | 107 | 4.5\% |
|  |  |  |


| State | Number of Responses | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT | 59 | 2.5\% |
| NE | 65 | 2.7\% |
| NV | 7 | 0.3\% |
| NH | 11 | 0.5\% |
| NJ | 75 | 3.2\% |
| NM | 17 | 0.7\% |
| NY | 122 | 5.1\% |
| NC | 12 | 0.5\% |
| ND | 33 | 1.4\% |
| OH | 100 | 4.2\% |
| OK | 74 | 3.1\% |
| OR | 27 | 1.1\% |
| PA | 99 | 4.2\% |
| RI | 7 | 0.3\% |
| SC | 9 | 0.4\% |
| SD | 34 | 1.4\% |
| TN | 21 | 0.9\% |
| TX | 102 | 4.3\% |
| UT | 14 | 0.6\% |
| VT | 15 | 0.6\% |
| VA | 31 | 1.3\% |
| WA | 51 | 2.2\% |
| WV | 5 | 0.2\% |
| WI | 117 | 4.9\% |
| WY | 11 | $0.5^{\wedge}$ |
| Blank | 25 | 1.1\% |
| Total Responses | 2369 | 100.0\% |

Finding:

- A total of 2,369 usable responses were received for the 2013 study, or slightly over 25 percent of those contacted, which represents near double the responses received for the 2012 study, indicating greater interest on the part of superintendents in these data.
- No state appears to be overrepresented.

Table 1.2 Gender (Q43) and racial/cultural group (Q44)

| Gender | Racial/Cultural Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American Indian or Alaska Native | Asian | Black or African American | Hispanic or Latino | Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | White (not Hispanic) | Other | Omitted Racial/ Cultural Group | Total |
| Male | 22(1.2) | 2(0.1) | 18(1.0) | 24(1.3) | 2(0.1) | 1,726(95.0) | 16(0.9) | 8(0.4) | 1,818(76.7) |
| Female | 6(1.1) | 2(0.4) | 25(4.7) | 11(2.0) | $0(0.0)$ | 483(90.3) | 6(1.1) | 2(0.4) | 535(22.6) |
| Omitted Gender | $0(0.0)$ | 0(0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 3(18.8) | $0(0.0)$ | 13(81.3) | 16(0.7) |
| Total | 28(1.2) | 4(0.2) | 43(1.8) | 35(1.5) | 2(0.1) | 2,212(93.4) | 22(1.0) | 23(1.0) | 2,369(100.0) |

Finding:

- Similar to the 2012 study, respondents arrayed by gender favor males over females in a slightly more than three to one ratio which is consistent to the respondents in The American School Superintendent: 2010 Decennial Study.
- Whites (not Hispanic) dominate the respondent ranks regardless of the gender.

Table 1.3 Gender (Q43) and district enrollment (Q4)

| Gender | 2013-2014 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than <br> 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to <br> 9,999 | 10,000 to <br> 24,999 | 25,000 <br> or More | Omitted <br> District <br> Size | Total |
| Male | $174(9.6)$ | $1,058(58.2)$ | $405(22.3)$ | $88(4.8)$ | $45(2.5)$ | $48(2.6)$ | $1,818(76.7)$ |
| Female | $60(11.2)$ | $267(49.9)$ | $147(27.5)$ | $37(6.9)$ | $8(1.5)$ | $16(3.0)$ | $535(22.6)$ |
| Omitted Gender | $0(0.0)$ | $8(50.0)$ | $3(18.8)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $16(0.7)$ |
| Total | $234(9.9)$ | $1,333(56.3)$ | $555(23.4)$ | $125(5.3)$ | $53(2.2)$ | $69(2.9)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- When gender and district enrollment of respondents are taken into account, the majority participating in the survey were from intermediate size districts, regardless of their gender.

Table 1.4 Gender (Q43) and district description (Q5)

| Gender | District Description |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Suburban | Urban | Omitted <br> District Description | Total |
| Male | $1,302(71.6)$ | $420(23.1)$ | $87(4.8)$ | $9(0.5)$ | $1,818(76.7)$ |
| Female | $342(63.9)$ | $152(28.4)$ | $38(7.1)$ | $3(0.6)$ | $535(22.6)$ |
| Omitted Gender | $8(50.0)$ | $3(18.8)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $16(0.6)$ |
| Total | $1,652(69.7)$ | $575(24.3)$ | $125(5.3)$ | $17(0.7)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- When asked to describe the setting of their district, nearly 70 percent of the respondents, regardless of gender, indicated that their district was best described as rural while about a quarter describe their district as suburban.

Table 1.5 Gender (Q43) and longevity in present position (Q6)

| Gender | Longevity in Present Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Year or <br> Less | $1-5$ Years | $6-10$ Years | $11-15$ Years | 16 Years <br> or More | Omitted <br> Longevity | Total |
| Male | $246(13.5)$ | $937(51.5)$ | $425(23.4)$ | $133(7.3)$ | $58(3.2)$ | $19(1.1)$ | $1,818(76.7)$ |
| Female | $98(18.3)$ | $288(53.8)$ | $113(21.1)$ | $24(4.5)$ | $7(1.3)$ | $5(0.2)$ | $535(22.6)$ |
| Omitted <br> Gender | $2(12.5)$ | $4(25.0)$ | $3(18.8)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(12.5)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $16(0.7)$ |
| Total | $346(14.6)$ | $1,229(51.9)$ | $541(22.8)$ | $157(6.6)$ | $67(2.8)$ | $29(1.22)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- When asked about their longevity in their present position, nearly half indicated one to five years with longer tenure favoring males.

Table 1.6 Gender (Q43) and longevity as superintendent (Q7)

| Gender | Longevity in Present Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Year or <br> Less | $1-5$ Years | $6-10$ Years | $11-15$ Years | 16 Years <br> or More | Omitted <br> Longevity | Total |
| Male | $141(7.8)$ | $658(36.2)$ | $507(27.2)$ | $272(15.0)$ | $231(12.7)$ | $9(0.5)$ | $1,818(76.8)$ |
| Female | $70(13.1)$ | $228(42.6)$ | $149(27.9)$ | $58(10.8)$ | $26(4.9)$ | $4(0.8)$ | $535(22.6)$ |
| Omitted <br> Gender | $0(0.0)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $3(18.8)$ | $1(6.3)$ | $2(12.5)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $16(0.7)$ |
| Total | $211(8.9)$ | $891(37.6)$ | $659(27.8)$ | $331(14.0)$ | $259(10.9)$ | $18(0.8)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- When asked about their tenure as a superintendent, males trended toward longer tenure in the superintendent position than females.

Table 1.7 Gender (Q43) and economic condition of district (Q13)

| Gender | Economic Condition of District |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strong <br> Economic <br> Condition | Stable <br> Economic <br> Condition | Declining Economic <br> Condition | Omitted <br> Economic <br> Condition | Total |  |
| Male | $115(6.3)$ | $933(51.3)$ | $753(41.4)$ | $17(0.9)$ | $1,818(76.7)$ |  |
| Female | $27(5.1)$ | $238(44.5)$ | $263(49.2)$ | $7(1.3)$ | $535(22.6)$ |  |
| Omitted <br> Gender | $0(0.0)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $6(37.5)$ | $16(0.7)$ |  |
| Total | $142(6.0)$ | $1,176(49.6)$ | $1,021(43.1)$ | $30(1.3)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |  |

Finding:

- Central to the study of salary and benefits is understanding the respondents' perception of the economic condition of their district. Regardless of gender, nearly half of the superintendents described their district as stable while more than four in 10 described their district as in declining economic condition.
- For those describing their district in declining economic condition, females outnumbered the males.

Table 1.8 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and district enrollment (Q4)

| Racial/ Cultural Group | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Less than } \\ 300 \end{gathered}$ | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 10,000 \text { to } \\ 24,999 \end{gathered}$ | "25,000 or <br> More | Omitted Enrollment | Total |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 8(28.6) | 16(57.1) | 3(10.7) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 1(3.6) | 28(1.2) |
| Asian | 0(0.0) | 1(25.0) | 1(25.0) | 1(25.0) | 1(25.0) | 0(0.0) | 4(0.2) |
| Black or African American | 0(0.0) | 13(30.2) | 18(41.9) | 7(16.3) | 3(7.0) | 2(4.7) | 43(1.8) |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3(1.3) | 18(51.4) | 7(20.0) | 3(8.6) | 3(5.7) | 1(1.5) | 35(1.5) |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0(0.0) | 2(100.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 2(0.1) |
| White (not Hispanic) | 218(9.9) | 1261(57.0) | 514(23.2) | 113(5.1) | 46(2.1) | 60(2.7) | 2,211(93.3) |
| Other | 5(22.5) | 10(45.5) | 6(27.3) | 1(4.5) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 22(0.9) |
| Omitted <br> Racial/ <br> Cultural <br> Group | 0(0.0) | 12(52.2) | 6(26.1) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 5(21.7) | 23(1.0) |
| Total | 234(9.9) | 1,333(56.3) | 555(23.4) | 125(5.3) | 53(2.2) | 69(2.9) | 2,369(100.0) |

Finding:

- Further investigating the demography of the respondents, it was found that white (not Hispanic) superintendents dominated those responding to the survey which is consistent with the findings of The American School Superintendent: 2010 Decennial Study. This represents a less than 0.5 percent reduction from the 2012 study findings.
- Note: As indicated in the introduction, care should be exercised in drawing conclusions or inferences from the very small numbers of respondents in some of the racial/cultural groups other than the fact that white superintendents clearly dominate the respondent profile and minorities appear to be underrepresented in the superintendent ranks and in comparison to the racial/cultural profile of American public school enrollment.

Table 1.9 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and description of school district (Q5)

| Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | Rural | Suburban | Urban | Omitted Description | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $27(96.4)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(3.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $28(1.2)$ |
| Asian | $2(50.0)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(0.2)$ |
| Black or African <br> American | $10(23.3)$ | $19(44.2)$ | $14(32.6)$ | $0(0.9)$ | $43(1.8)$ |
| Hispanic or <br> Latino | $23(65.7)$ | $5(14.3)$ | $7(20.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $35(1.5)$ |
| Native <br> Hawaiian or <br> Pacific Islander | $2(100.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.0)$ |
| White (not <br> Hispanic) | $1,561(70.6)$ | $541(24.5)$ | $98(4.4)$ | $12(0.5)$ | $2,212(93.4)$ |
| Other | $15(68.1)$ | $5(22.7)$ | $2(9.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $22(0.9)$ |
| Omitted Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | $12(52.2)$ | $4(17.4)$ | $2(8.7)$ | $5(21.7)$ | $23(1.0)$ |
| Total | $1,652(69.7)$ | $575(24.3)$ | $125(5.3)$ | $17(0.7)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

## Finding:

- Again as was true in the racial/cultural group reporting by enrollment, when asked how they characterize their district, black or African American respondents trended toward employment in larger districts in greater percentage than white respondents while the remaining racial/cultural group respondents were in such small numbers, making drawing any conclusions from the data tenuous at best.

Table 1.10 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and years in present position (Q6)

| Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | Years in Present Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 year or <br> Less | $1-5$ Years | $6-10$ Years | $11-15$ Years | 16 Years or <br> More | Omitted <br> Years | Total |
| American <br> Indian or Alaska <br> Native | $4(14.3)$ | $16(57.1)$ | $7(25.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(3.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $28(1.2)$ |
| Asian | $0(0.0)$ | $4(100.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(0.8)$ |
| Black or African <br> American | $6(14.0)$ | $28(65.1)$ | $6(14.0)$ | $2(4.7)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(3.6)$ | $43(1.8)$ |
| Hispanic or <br> Latino | $9(25.7)$ | $19(54.30$ | $5(14.3)$ | $2(5.7)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $35(1.5)$ |
| Native <br> Hawaiian or <br> Pacific Islander | $0(0.0)$ | $2(100.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ |
| White <br> (not Hispanic) | $322(14.6)$ | $1,139(51.5)$ | $513(23.2)$ | $151(6.8)$ | $65(2.9)$ | $22(1.0)$ | $2,212(93.4)$ |
| Other | $2(9.1)$ | $13(59.1)$ | $6(27.3)$ | $1(4.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $22(0.9)$ |
| Omitted <br> Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | $3(13.0)$ | $8(34.8)$ | $4(17.4)$ | $1(4.4)$ | $1(4.4)$ | $6(26.1)$ | $23(1.0)$ |
| Total | $346(14.6)$ | $1,229(51.9)$ | $541(22.8)$ | $157(6.6)$ | $67(2.9)$ | $29(1.2)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

## Finding:

- When tenure in present position was studied by racial/cultural group, longer tenure favored the white respondents.

Table 1.11 Racial/cultural group $(\mathrm{Q} 44)$ and years as superintendent $(\mathrm{Q} 7)$

| Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | Years As a Superintendent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 year or <br> Less | $1-5$ Years | $6-10$ Years | $11-15$ Years | 16 Years or <br> More | Omitted <br> Years | Total |
| American <br> Indian or Alaska <br> Native | $2(7.1)$ | $12(42.9)$ | $8(28.6)$ | $4(14.3)$ | $2(7.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $28(1.2)$ |
| Asian | $0(0.0)$ | $4(100.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(0.2)$ |
| Black or African <br> American | $3(7.0)$ | $27(62.8)$ | $7(16.3)$ | $5(11.6)$ | $1(2.3)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $43(1.8)$ |
| Hispanic or <br> Latino | $6(17.1)$ | $16(45.7)$ | $6(17.1)$ | $7(20.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $35(1.5)$ |
| Native <br> Hawaiian or <br> Pacific Islander | $0(0.0)$ | $1(50.0)$ | $1(50.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ |
| White <br> (not Hispanic) | $198(9.0)$ | $816(36.9)$ | $624(28.2)$ | $308(13.9)$ | $253(11.4)$ | $13(0.6)$ | $2,212(93.4)$ |
| Other | $1(4.6)$ | $7(31.8)$ | $6(27.3)$ | $6(27.3)$ | $2(9.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $22(0.9)$ |
| Omitted <br> Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | $1(4.4)$ | $8(34.8)$ | $7(30.4)$ | $1(4.4)$ | $1(4.4)$ | $5(21.7)$ | $23(1.0)$ |
| Total | $211(8.9)$ | $891(37.6)$ | $659(27.8)$ | $331(14.0)$ | $259(10.9)$ | $18(0.8)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- As with tenure in their present position, years as superintendent also favored white respondents.

Table 1.12 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and economic condition of district (Q13)

| Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | Strong <br> Economic <br> Condition | Stable <br> Economic <br> Condition | Declining Economic <br> Condition | Omitted <br> Economic Condition | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2(7.1)$ | $12(42.9)$ | $14(50.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $28(1.2)$ |
| Asian | $0(0.0)$ | $3(75.0)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(0.2)$ |
| Black or African <br> American | $0(0.0)$ | $17(39.5)$ | $25(58.1)$ | $1(2.3)$ | $43(1.8)$ |
| Hispanic or <br> Latino | $1(0.7)$ | $18(51.4)$ | $16(45.7)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $35(1.5)$ |
| Native <br> Hawaiian or <br> Pacific Islander | $1(50.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ |
| White (not <br> Hispanic) | $138(6.2)$ | $1,112(50.3)$ | $940(42.5)$ | $22(1.0)$ | $2,212(93.4)$ |
| Other | $0(0.0)$ | $5(0.2)$ | $17(0.7)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $22(0.9)$ |
| Omitted <br> Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | $0(0.0)$ | $9(39.1)$ | $7(30.4)$ | $7(30.4)$ | $23(1.0)$ |
| Total | $142(6.0)$ | $1,176(49.6)$ | $1,021(43.1)$ | $30(1.3)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- When investigating the racial/cultural group and the respondents' description of the economic condition of their district, a greater percentage of minority superintendents found themselves in districts of declining economic condition than white superintendents.


## SECTION \#2: SALARY

Note: A significant amount of information follows concerning salaries paid to the superintendents and their staff. For the purposes of comparisons, ratios have been calculated for median superintendent salaries and that of teachers (See Table 2.5). The logic employed is that in the private sector, a commonly used metric (ratio) is calculated based on the salary of the CEO and that of the entry-level worker in the organization. Without great difficulty, other metrics can be calculated using these data presented herein.

Table 2.1 Superintendent base salary 2012-13 (Q8A) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4) by gender (Q43)

|  | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012-13 <br> Super. Base <br> Salary | Less than 300 |  | 300 to 2,499 |  | 2,500 to 9,999 |  | 10,000 to 24,999 |  | 25,000 or more |  |
| Gender | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Minimum | 21,250 | 34,000 | 29,000 | 33,000 | 30,000 | 95,000 | 86,000 | 85,600 | 113,600 | 150,000 |
| 10\% | 53800 | 59,572 | 86,500 | 88,000 | 110,000 | 116,000 | 127,000 | 125,925 | 153,000 | 150,000 |
| 25\% | 67,215 | 75,000 | 95,000 | 98,000 | 125,000 | 127,000 | 149,000 | 154,000 | 180,000 | 155,000 |
| 50\% | 81,000 | 82,000 | 108,000 | 110,000 | 143,000 | 150,000 | 172,000 | 171,000 | 201,880 | 200,000 |
| 75\% | 94,320 | 93,000 | 124,905 | 130,000 | 169,000 | 167,500 | 195,000 | 186,000 | 242,000 | 218,000 |
| 90\% | 108,000 | 110,070 | 143,000 | 155,000 | 191,287 | 195,740 | 215,000 | 200,000 | 255,000 | 200,000 |
| Maximum | 175,000 | 120,000 | 255,920 | 235,453 | 288,000 | 267,000 | 275,200 | 247,200 | 336,000 | 269,500 |
| N | 156 | 52 | 982 | 238 | 377 | 128 | 76 | 34 | 41 | 8 |

* Note: No 2012-13 enrollment data collected.

Finding:

- As expected, 2012-13 median salary increases with district enrollment. This growth more than doubles when the median salary for the smallest district is compared to the largest enrollment district.
- In most, but not all cases, across district enrollments, median salaries paid to females is slightly higher than that paid to male superintendents. In drawing conclusions from these data, one must take into consideration the relatively small count of respondents in the smallest and largest districts.

Table 2.2 Superintendent base salary 2013-14 (Q9A) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4) by gender (Q43)

| $2013-14$Super. BaseSalary | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 |  | 300 to 2,499 |  | 2,500 to 9,999 |  | 10,000 to 24,999 |  | 25,000 or more |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Minimum | 27,250 | 34,000 | 19,300 | 42,500 | 33,500 | 75,000 | 91,561 | 90,000 | 115,900 | 150,000 |
| 10\% | 56,500 | 57,500 | 88,400 | 90,000 | 113,000 | 115,000 | 232,000 | 134,000 | 153,000 | 150,000 |
| 25\% | 69,900 | 71,000 | 98,000 | 98,500 | 128,000 | 127,000 | 205,000 | 154,000 | 185,000 | 165,000 |
| 50\% | 84,500 | 85,000 | 110,000 | 112,000 | 147,779 | 153,000 | 180,000 | 172,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 |
| 75\% | 97,000 | 97,500 | 127,500 | 132,344 | 174,802 | 173,389 | 152,500 | 191,475 | 250,000 | 222,000 |
| 90\% | 110,000 | 111,263 | 145,447 | 155,000 | 196,702 | 200,000 | 135,000 | 203,463 | 274,300 | 250,000 |
| Maximum | 190,000 | 120,000 | 258,734 | 246,048 | 288,000 | 268,766 | 280,000 | 252,144 | 348,000 | 269,500 |
| N | 169 | 55 | 1034 | 261 | 401 | 145 | 87 | 37 | 44 | 8 |

* Note: No 2012-13 enrollment data collected.

Finding:

- As in 2012-13 median salaries, females were generally compensated at a higher rate than males when arrayed by district size.
- Increases in median base salaries general increased by 1-2 percent from the 2012-13 to 2013-14 school year.

Table 2.3A Assistant superintendent instruction base salary 2012-13 (Q10A) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

|  | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012-13 Assistant <br> Superintendent <br> Instruction Base <br> Salary | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 38,000 | 40,000 | 60,000 | 65,000 | 85,000 |
| 10\% | 38,000 | 65,000 | 85,000 | 93,000 | 103,000 |
| 25\% | 48,000 | 78,000 | 96,000 | 105,000 | 113,000 |
| 50\% | 80,000 | 90,000 | 110,344 | 125,000 | 130,000 |
| 75\% | 85,000 | 104,000 | 128,386 | 140,000 | 145,000 |
| 90\% | 85,000 | 123,131 | 150,000 | 152,474 | 169,000 |
| Maximum | 89,849 | 181,000 | 240,000 | 171,000 | 200,000 |
| N | 6 | 336 | 449 | 112 | 45 |

*Note: No 2012-13 Enrollment Data Collected.
Finding:

- As with the superintendent base salaries, assistant superintendent for instruction median base salaries increase with district enrollment, while the smallest of districts have few individuals serving in this capacity, making comparison difficult.

Table 2.3B Assistant superintendent human resources base salary 2012-13 (Q10B) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2012-13 Assistant <br> Superintendent <br> Human Resources <br> Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum |  | 32,000 | 33,825 | 42,000 | 85,000 |
| 10\% |  | 45,000 | 75,000 | 86,000 | 99,677 |
| 25\% |  | 60,000 | 90,000 | 100,000 | 102,000 |
| 50\% |  | 78,000 | 104,130 | 116,657 | 123,633 |
| 75\% |  | 90,000 | 126,804 | 135,000 | 145,000 |
| 90\% |  | 115,000 | 150,000 | 146,000 | 165,000 |
| Maximum |  | 152,695 | 240,000 | 162,000 | 215,000 |
| N | 0 | 86 | 339 | 105 | 45 |

*Note: No 2012-13 Enrollment Data Collected.
Finding:

- As with the superintendent median base salaries, assistant superintendent for human resources median base salaries increase with district enrollment, while the smallest of districts have few individual serving in this capacity making comparison difficult.

Table 2.3C Assistant superintendent business and finance base salary 2012-13 (Q10C) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2012-13 Assistant Superintendent Business and Finance Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 27,873 | 38,000 | 48,666 | 65,000 | 90,000 |
| 10\% | 32,188 | 53,500 | 77,038 | 93,000 | 99,000 |
| 25\% | 40,000 | 65,000 | 89,904 | 105,000 | 113,000 |
| 50\% | 50,000 | 81,375 | 109,700 | 120,000 | 136,464 |
| 75\% | 70,000 | 99,000 | 130,000 | 139,535 | 152,000 |
| 90\% | 83,738 | 124,000 | 158,681 | 153,000 | 183,000 |
| Maximum | 96,000 | 222,000 | 230,000 | 210,000 | 215,000 |
| N | 20 | 407 | 425 | 110 | 45 |

Note: No 2012-13 Enrollment Data Collected.
Finding:

- As with the superintendent base salaries, assistant superintendent for business and finance median base salaries increase with district enrollment, while the smallest of districts have few individual serving in this capacity making comparison difficult.

Table 2.3D High school principal base salary 2012-13 (Q10D) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2012-13 High School Principal Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 17,166 | 15,000 | 55,000 | 55,000 | 80,000 |
| 10\% | 45,000 | 65,000 | 82,000 | 82,000 | 85,162 |
| 25\% | 55,000 | 73,730 | 91,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 |
| 50\% | 65,000 | 84,000 | 103,000 | 106,000 | 110,000 |
| 75\% | 76,000 | 93,000 | 117,673 | 118,000 | 120,000 |
| 90\% | 81,982 | 102,538 | 141,000 | 130,000 | 130,000 |
| Maximum | 90,000 | 178,000 | 205,000 | 160,000 | 143,791 |
| N | 119 | 1081 | 466 | 105 | 45 |

*Note: No 2012-13 Enrollment Data Collected.
Finding:

- As with the superintendent base salaries, high school principal median base salaries increase with district enrollment, while the smallest of districts have few individual serving in this capacity making comparison difficult.

Table 2.3E Middle school principal base salary 2012-13 (Q10E) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2012-13 Middle <br> School Principal Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 17,166 | 20,000 | 48,000 | 55,000 | 70,000 |
| 10\% | 17,500 | 64,000 | 75,000 | 73,000 | 75,999 |
| 25\% | 21,300 | 72,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 | 85,281 |
| 50\% | 30,000 | 81,497 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 98,000 |
| 75\% | 55,000 | 91,000 | 110,000 | 107,613 | 112,000 |
| 90\% | 84,000 | 107,000 | 130,000 | 117,326 | 117,000 |
| Maximum | 85,900 | 165,600 | 200,000 | 150,000 | 140,000 |
| N | 15 | 681 | 465 | 105 | 42 |

*Note: No 2012-13 Enrollment Data Collected.

Finding:

- As with the superintendent base salaries, middle school principal median base salaries increase with district enrollment, while the smallest of districts have few individual serving in this capacity making comparison difficult.

Table 2.3F Elementary school principal base salary 2012-13 (Q10F) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2012-13 <br> Elementary School Principal Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 17,167 | 21,000 | 45,000 | 55,000 | 50,000 |
| 10\% | 26,624 | 60,600 | 69,000 | 65,000 | 68,000 |
| 25\% | 50,000 | 68,432 | 78,000 | 76,000 | 78,000 |
| 50\% | 58,000 | 77,000 | 89,000 | 88,509 | 87,629 |
| 75\% | 70,000 | 87,491 | 102,000 | 98,064 | 104,000 |
| 90\% | 80,519 | 99,149 | 124,000 | 108,000 | 111,000 |
| Maximum | 97,000 | 180,000 | 182,916 | 145,000 | 129,309 |
| N | 70 | 1101 | 479 | 109 | 44 |

*Note: No 2012-13 Enrollment Data Collected.

Finding:

- As with the superintendent base salaries, elementary school principal median base salaries increase with district enrollment, while the smallest of districts have few individual serving in this capacity making comparison difficult.

Table 2.3G Beginning teacher base salary 2012-13 (Q10G) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2012-13 Beginning <br> Teacher Base <br> Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 22,400 | 24,000 | 26,700 | 29,000 | 26,000 |
| $10 \%$ | 26,000 | 30,000 | 32,375 | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| $25 \%$ | 28,500 | 31,500 | 34,100 | 34,500 | 35,917 |
| $50 \%$ | 30,800 | 33,764 | 37,000 | 37,000 | 40,000 |
| $75 \%$ | 33,000 | 37,000 | 42,346 | 41,370 | 44,000 |
| $90 \%$ | 31,000 | 42,463 | 48,000 | 45,176 | 46,500 |
| Maximum | 199 | 87,500 | 81,000 | 58,750 | 60,000 |
| N | 1224 | 490 | 111 | 45 |  |

*Note: No 2012-13 Enrollment Data Collected.
Finding:

- As with the superintendent base salaries, teacher median base salaries increase with district enrollment, while the smallest of districts have few individual serving in this capacity making comparison difficult.

Table 2.4A Assistant superintendent instruction base salary 2013-14 (Q11A) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2013-14 Assistant <br> Superintendent Instruction Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 40,000 | 8,500 | 17,500 | 67,000 | 85,000 |
| 10\% |  | 65,500 | 88,000 | 95,000 | 104,742 |
| 25\% | 40,000 | 80,000 | 97,079 | 105,000 | 120,000 |
| 50\% | 85,000 | 90,671 | 112,000 | 127,000 | 134,000 |
| 75\% | 86,700 | 105,000 | 130,000 | 144,000 | 150,000 |
| 90\% | 91,557 | 125,000 | 147,500 | 154,000 | 170,288 |
| Maximum | 91,557 | 183,000 | 240,000 | 179,216 | 205,000 |
| N | 4 | 358 | 472 | 113 | 49 |

Finding:

- When comparing median base salaries from 2012-13 to 2013-14, assistant superintendents for instruction generally saw a 1-2 percent increase.

Table 2.4B Assistant superintendent human resources base salary 2013-14 (Q11B) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2013-14 Assistant <br> Superintendent <br> Human Resources <br> Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum |  | 23,664 | 35,425 | 44,000 | 85,000 |
| 10\% |  | 40,000 | 75,000 | 85,058 | 100,000 |
| 25\% |  | 54,000 | 90,000 | 100,000 | 106,000 |
| 50\% |  | 77,868 | 106,500 | 116,745 | 130,000 |
| 75\% |  | 89,000 | 126,500 | 131,858 | 148,000 |
| 90\% |  | 113,883 | 148,188 | 148,250 | 165,000 |
| Maximum |  | 156,570 | 240,000 | 163,000 | 190,000 |
| N | 0 | 88 | 346 | 106 | 48 |

Finding:

- When comparing median base salaries from 2012-13 to 2013-14, assistant superintendents for human resources generally saw a 1-2 percent increase.

Table 2.4C Assistant superintendent business and finance base salary 2013-14 (Q11C) and district enrollment (2013-14) Q4

| 2013-14 Assistant Superintendent Business and Finance Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 34,960 | 33,100 | 14,000 | 65,000 | 90,000 |
| 10\% | 36,000 | 54,000 | 75,300 | 92,000 | 103,000 |
| 25\% | 42,000 | 66,000 | 90,000 | 105,000 | 118,000 |
| 50\% | 50,000 | 83,820 | 110,000 | 120,600 | 140,000 |
| 75\% | 71,820 | 101,000 | 130,000 | 140,824 | 158,000 |
| 90\% | 88,800 | 126,243 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 170,000 |
| Maximum | 96,000 | 227,000 | 230,000 | 220,000 | 215,000 |
| N | 17 | 410 | 439 | 110 | 47 |

Finding:

- When comparing median base salaries from 2012-13 to 2013-14, assistant superintendents for business and finance generally saw a 1-2 percent increase.

Table 2.4D High school principal base salary 2013-14 (Q11D) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2013-14 High School Principal Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 16,666 | 5,580 | 55,000 | 56,000 | 80,000 |
| 10\% | 46,500 | 66,000 | 84,000 | 83,000 | 90,000 |
| 25\% | 56,000 | 74,500 | 92,500 | 96,000 | 95,000 |
| 50\% | 67,000 | 84,800 | 105,000 | 110,000 | 115,000 |
| 75\% | 77,500 | 94,250 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 122,000 |
| 90\% | 85,000 | 103,229 | 141,000 | 132,000 | 130,000 |
| Maximum | 97,000 | 180,000 | 210,000 | 160,000 | 148,105 |
| N | 122 | 1105 | 483 | 105 | 47 |

Finding:

- When comparing median base salaries from 2012-13 to 2013-14, high school principals generally saw a 1-2 percent increase.

Table 2.4E Middle school principal base salary 2013-14 (Q11E) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2013-14 Middle <br> School Principal <br> Base Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 16,666 | 8,000 | 48,000 | 56,000 | 7,000 |
| $10 \%$ | 17,500 | 64,000 | 75,000 | 72,000 | 75,999 |
| $25 \%$ | 22,334 | 72,500 | 85,000 | 85,000 | 86,725 |
| $50 \%$ | 36,000 | 82,500 | 95,500 | 97,000 | 101,000 |
| $75 \%$ | 58,000 | 92,298 | 110,000 | 107,639 | 114,000 |
| $90 \%$ | 84,000 | 107,644 | 132,000 | 117,326 | 120,000 |
| Maximum | 86,800 | 168,500 | 205,000 | 150,000 | 145,000 |
| N | 15 | 702 | 485 | 103 | 44 |

Finding:

- When comparing median base salaries from 2012-13 to 2013-14, middle school principals generally saw a 1-2 percent increase.

Table 2.4F Elementary school principal base salary 2013-14 (Q11F) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| $2013-14$ <br> Elementary School <br> Principal Base <br> Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 16,667 | 10,600 | 45,000 | 56,000 | 50,000 |
| $10 \%$ | 32,000 | 62,000 | 70,000 | 68,000 | 72,765 |
| $25 \%$ | 52,000 | 69,500 | 78,000 | 80,000 | 79,060 |
| $50 \%$ | 76,800 | 78,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 89,218 |
| $75 \%$ | 81,000 | 88,000 | 105,000 | 101,000 | 107,000 |
| $90 \%$ | 97,000 | 180,000 | 125,000 | 110,000 | 115,000 |
| Maximum | 76 | 1115 | 197,000 | 145,000 | 133,188 |
| N |  |  | 495 | 108 | 46 |

Finding:

- When comparing median base salaries from 2012-13 to 2013-14, elementary school principals generally saw a one to two percent increase.

Table 2.4G Beginning teacher base salary 2013-14 (Q11G) and district enrollment 2013-14 (Q4)

| 2013-14 Beginning |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Teacher Base <br> Salary | 2013-14 District Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| Minimum | 22,600 | 24,850 | 27,000 | 29,000 | 30,000 |
| $10 \%$ | 26,775 | 30,000 | 33,000 | 32,000 | 33,000 |
| $25 \%$ | 29,400 | 31,748 | 34,500 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| $50 \%$ | 31,600 | 34,175 | 38,000 | 38,000 | 40,750 |
| $75 \%$ | 34,000 | 37,338 | 43,000 | 42,000 | 44,632 |
| $90 \%$ | 41,000 | 43,180 | 48,397 | 47,000 | 48,000 |
| Maximum | 53,000 | 95,318 | 85,000 | 63,000 | 60,000 |
| N | 197 | 1206 | 502 | 111 | 45 |

Finding:

- When comparing median base salaries from 2012-13 to 2013-14, beginning teachers generally saw a 1-2 percent increase.

Table 2.5 Ratio of 2013-14 median superintendent salaries (male only) (Q9A) with that of beginning teacher base salary (males and females) (Q11G) by district enrollment (Q4)

| 2013-14 <br> Superintendent/ <br> Beginning Teachers | Less than 300 | 300 to 2,499 | 2,500 to 9,999 | 10,000 to 24,999 | 25,000 or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $84,500(169)$ | $110,000(1034)$ | $147,779(401)$ | $180,000(87)$ | $210,000(44)$ |
| Beginning <br> Teacher <br> Male and Female | $31,600(197)$ | $34,175(1206)$ | $38,000(502)$ | $38,000(111)$ | $40,750(45)$ |
| Ratio <br> 2013-2014 | $1: 2.7$ | $1: 3.2$ | $1: 3.9$ | $1: 4.7$ | $1: 5.2$ |
| Ratio <br> $2012-13 *$ | $1: 2.7$ | $1: 3.2$ | $1: 3.8$ | $1: 5.3$ | $1: 5.1$ |

* Drawn from 2012-13 study

Finding:

- Constructing the metric ratios of median superintendent salary and median beginning teacher salary produced no appreciable change in recent years.


## Section \#3: Evaluation

Table 3.1 Does your employment contract require an annual performance evaluation? (Q26A)

| Responses | Count |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Data |
| Yes | 2,238 |
| No | 120 |
| Omitted | 15 |
| Total Responses | $2,373^{*}$ |
|  | $5.3 \%$ |

*Note: 4 respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- Comparison of responses from the present study to the 2012-13 study indicate that there nearly ten percent more superintendents are now subject to an annual evaluation (85.1 to 94.3).

Table 3.2 Does your employment contract specify the process, measures and indicators to be used for your formal performance evaluation? (Q26B)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 1,192 | $50.1 \%$ |
| No | 1,165 | $49.0 \%$ |
| Omitted | 21 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $2,378^{*}$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: 9 respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- Even with the growth in annual evaluations, only half of the respondent superintendents indicate that they have included the process, measures and indicators to be used in the formal evaluation in their employment agreement.

Table 3.3 Is your formal performance evaluation linked to objectives or directions specified in the previous year's performance? (Q26C)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 1,385 | $58.2 \%$ |
| No | 969 | $40.7 \%$ |
| Omitted | 24 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $2,378^{*}$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: 9 respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.

Finding:

- Linking objective and directions from previous evaluations with the present evaluation occurs only in slightly more than half the evaluations of superintendents.

Table 3.4 Is your formal performance evaluation linked to a 360 degree feedback? (Q26 D)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 413 | $17.4 \%$ |
| No | 1,927 | $81.2 \%$ |
| Omitted | 34 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $2,374^{*}$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: 5 respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.

## Finding:

- Only one in six superintendent evaluations uses 360 degree feedback.

Table 3.5 Is your formal performance evaluation linked to student outcomes/performance? (Q26 E)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 959 | $40.3 \%$ |
| No | 1,391 | $58.5 \%$ |
| No answer) | 29 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $2,379^{*}$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: 10 respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.

## Finding:

- Slightly more than four in 10 superintendents indicated that student outcomes/performance data are included in their evaluations. No trend data on this matter is yet available.

Table 3.6 Who formally evaluates your performance? (Q27)

| Responses |  | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Entire Board | 2,223 | $93.84 \%$ |
| Board President | 40 | $1.69 \%$ |
| Board or Executive Committee | 61 | $2.57 \%$ |
| Outside Consultant | 8 | $0.34 \%$ |
| Other | 21 | $0.89 \%$ |
| Omitted | 16 | $0.68 \%$ |
| Total Responses | 2,369 | $100.01 \%$ |

Finding:

- Overwhelmingly, the superintendent is evaluated by the entire board.

Table 3.7 Is the outcome of your formal performance evaluation made public? (Q26 F)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 836 | $35.1 \%$ |
| No | 1,511 | $63.5 \%$ |
| Omitted | 32 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Total Responses | $2,379 *$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: 10 respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- The public release of the formal evaluation of the superintendent has increased slightly from 34.6 percent in 2012-13 to 35.1 percent this year.


## SECTION \#4: RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Table 4.1 Does the school district make a contribution to an annuity or private retirement account that you have? (Q 32)

| Contribution | Count | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 43 | Percent |
| Yes $($ Less than $\$ 1,000)$ | 292 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Yes $(\$ 1,000-\$ 5.000)$ | 170 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Yes $(\$ 5,001-\$ 10,000)$ | 170 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Yes More than $\$ 10,000$ | 1,644 | $7.2 \%$ |
| No | 50 | $69.4 \%$ |
| Omitted | 2,369 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Total Responses |  | $100.0 \%$ |

Finding:

- Slightly more than 30 percent of the superintendents responding to the survey indicated they receive a district contribution to an annuity or private retirement account. This is up slightly from the 2012-13 survey.

Table 4.2 Is your retirement plan/system contribution based on your salary? (Q30)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 2,054 | $86.70 \%$ |
| No | 297 | $12.54 \%$ |
| Omitted | 18 | $0.76 \%$ |
| Total Responses | 2,369 | $100.00 \%$ |

Finding:

- Nearly nine out of 10 of the respondents indicated that the district contribution to the retirement plan/system was based on salary.

Table 4.3 Percentage of total retirement contribution paid by the school district. (Q31)

| Responses | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 860 | $36.30 \%$ |
| $0-10 \%$ | 434 | $18.32 \%$ |
| $11-20 \%$ | 120 | $5.07 \%$ |
| $21-30 \%$ | 13 | $0.55 \%$ |
| $31-40 \%$ | 158 | $6.67 \%$ |
| $41-50 \%$ | 47 | $1.98 \%$ |
| $51-60 \%$ | 15 | $0.63 \%$ |
| $61-70 \%$ | 14 | $0.59 \%$ |
| $71-80 \%$ | 20 | $0.84 \%$ |
| $81-90 \%$ | 324 | $13.68 \%$ |
| $91-100 \%$ | 364 | $15.37 \%$ |
| Omitted | 2,369 | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total Responses |  |  |

## Finding:

- The data collected on this item has a wide variance suggesting that the respondents may have been confused about the contribution rate paid by the district. As a result, no finding is offered.


## SECTION \#5: InsURANCE BENEFIT

Table 5.1 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent: medical/hospital (Q34 A)

| Response | Count | Pata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,841 | $77.6 \%$ |
| Yes | 350 | $14.7 \%$ |
| No | 182 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Omitted | $2,373^{*}$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

*Note: Four respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- The coverage of superintendents for medical/hospital has grown by 2 percent to 77.6 percent. With the Affordable Healthcare Act, the figure is expected to increase.

Table 5.2 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent: dental (Q34 B)

| Response | Count | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,408 | Percent |
| Yes | 752 | $59.4 \%$ |
| No | 212 | $31.7 \%$ |
| Omitted | $2,372^{*}$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| Total |  | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: Three respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- Ironically as health care coverage increase among superintendents responding to the survey, dental coverage decreased by 4 percent in the last year.

Table 5.3 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent: vision/optical (Q34 C)

| Response | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
|  | 1,002 | $42.2 \%$ |
| No | 1,099 | $46.3 \%$ |
| Omitted | 275 | $11.6 \%$ |
| Total | $2,376^{*}$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: Seven respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- As with dental coverage, vision/optical coverage decreased by 3 percent over the last year.

Table 5.4 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent: disability insurance (Q34 D)

| Response | Count | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,078 | Percent |
| Yes | 1,042 | $45.4 \%$ |
| No | 256 | $43.9 \%$ |
| Omitted | $2,376^{*}$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| Total |  | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: Seven respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- Reversing the trend of decreases in some sectors of insurance coverage, the provision of disability coverage grew by several percent over the last year, nearing half of the responding superintendents receiving disability coverage.

Table 5.5 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent's family: medical/hospital (Q35 A)

| Response | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 1,311 | $55.3 \%$ |
| No | 666 | $28.1 \%$ |
| Tmitted | 394 | $16.6 \%$ |
| Total | 2,371 | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: Two respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- As expected, only slightly more than half of the families of superintendents responding to the survey are covered by medical/hospital insurance paid by the district.

Table 5.6 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent's family: dental (Q35 B)

| Response | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 1,041 | $43.9 \%$ |
| No | 895 | $37.7 \%$ |
| Omitted | 436 | $18.4 \%$ |
| Total | 2,372 | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: Three respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.
Finding:

- The coverage of district-paid coverage for the superintendent's family erodes further for dental coverage.

Table 5.7 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent's family: vision/optical (Q35 C)

| Response | Count | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 716 | Percent |
|  | 1,148 | $30.2 \%$ |
| No | 508 | $48.4 \%$ |
| Omitted | 2,372 | $21.4 \%$ |
| Total |  | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: Three respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.

## Finding:

- Less than one in three families of superintendents responding receive district-paid vision/ optical coverage.

Table 5.8 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Coverage paid for superintendent's family: disability (Q35 D)

|  | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 322 | $13.6 \%$ |
| No | 1,438 | $60.6 \%$ |
| Omitted | 613 | $25.8 \%$ |
| Total | 2,373 | $100.0 \%$ |

*Note: Four respondents selected both "yes" and "no," resulting in no appreciable impact on data.

## Finding:

- Very few superintendent families are covered by a district-paid disability policy.

Table 5.9 What health insurance coverage do you receive in you contract? Do you receive any postretirement health insurance coverage? (Q 36)

| Response | Count | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 665 | Percent |
| Yes | 1,666 | $28.1 \%$ |
| No | 38 | $70.3 \%$ |
| Omitted | 2,369 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Total Responses |  | $100.00 \%$ |

## Finding:

- With superintendents retiring earlier, the issue of post-retirement insurance coverage becomes an important matter. In the 2012-13 study, 17.6 percent of the respondents reported having post-retirement health coverage in their employment agreement. This year 28.1 percent reported having that benefit.


## Section \#6: Hire/Rehire

Table 6.1 Have you been rehired for your present position as superintendent after retiring in the state system? (Q41)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 188 | $7.9 \%$ |
| No | 2,154 | $90.9 \%$ |
| Omitted | 27 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Total Responses | 2,369 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Finding:

- Slightly more than one in 10 superintendents who responded indicated that they have been rehired as a superintendent after retiring from that state's or another state's retirement system. This is a marker of both an aging superintendent population and potentially narrowing pool of individuals interested in entering the superintendency.

Table 6.2 Are you drawing retirement from one state and working as a superintendent in another state? (Q42)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 144 | $6.1 \%$ |
| No | 2,200 | $92.9 \%$ |
| Omitted | 25 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Total Responses | 2,369 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Finding:

- Very few superintendents are drawing retirement from one state while serving as a superintendent in another state. As some states have employed caps on salaries (see Table 7.13), this might change. It is the intent of AASA to use this data as a baseline and to collect similar data over several years to document any potential trends.


## Section \#7: Miscellaneous Benefits

Table 7.1 Which of the following contract benefits are provided in your employment contract? Mark all that apply. (Q29)

| Benefit | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Deferred Compensation | 200 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Guaranteed Vesting in Retirement Plan | 812 | $34.3 \%$ |
| Whole Life Insurance (accumulates to employee) | 476 | $20.1 \%$ |
| Conference Attendance Fees Paid | 2,019 | $85.2 \%$ |
| Support for Coach/Mentor for Superintendent | 144 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Paid Physical Exam | 794 | $33.5 \%$ |
| Professional Liability Coverage | 1,083 | $45.7 \%$ |
| College Savings Plan | 23 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Provision for Conduction Outside Consulting | 664 | $28.0 \%$ |
| Omitted | 522 | $22.0 \%$ |

Note: Multiple answers per participant possible. Percentages added exceed 100 since a participant may select more than one answer for this question.

## Finding:

- When compared to the responses from a year earlier, change in participation in benefits was largely minor. Worth noting is a slight uptick in the use of deferred compensation and payment of professional liability insurance.

Table 7.2 Does the school district contribute to the premiums on a term insurance policy apart from the insurance benefits provided for all employees? (Q 33)

| Response | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
|  | 1,068 | $45.1 \%$ |
| No | 1,252 | $52.9 \%$ |
| Omitted | 49 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Total | 2,369 | $100.0 \%$ |

Finding:

- Nearly half of the responding superintendents reported receiving a district-paid insurance policy above that received by other district employees.

Table 7.3 Gender (Q43) and sick leave provision (Q16A/B)

| Gender | Annul Allowance - Sick Leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-3 Days | 4-6 Days | 7-10 Days | 11-15 Days | 16-20 Days | 21-25 Days | $26+$ Days | Mean |
| Male | $49(2.8)$ | $59(3.3)$ | $371(21.0)$ | $1,103(62.5)$ | $150(8.5)$ | $20(1.1)$ | $15(0.1)$ | 12.6 |
| Female | $13(2.5)$ | $20(3.9)$ | $93(18.1)$ | $326(63.7)$ | $42(8.2)$ | $13(2.5)$ | $5(0.1)$ | 12.9 |


| Gender | Maximum Accrual Allowance - Sick Leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0-25 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 26-50 \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 51-75 \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 76-100 Days | $\begin{gathered} \hline 101-150 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 151-200 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 201+ \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ | Mean |
| Male | 142(9.9) | 114(7.9) | 132(9.2) | 222(15.5) | 271(18.9) | 210(14.6) | 344(23.9) | 155.7 |
| Female | 56(15.8) | 27(7.3) | 30(8.1) | 59(16.0) | 56(15.1) | 62(16.8) | 79(21.4) | 140.7 |

Finding:

- Sick leave allowances including accrual arrayed by gender show little or no difference.

Table 7.4 Gender (Q43) and vacation leave provision (Q17A/B)

| Gender | Annual Allowance - Vacation Leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-3 Days | 4-6 Days | 7-10 Days | 11-15 Days | 16-20 Days | $21-25$ Days | $26+$ Days | Mean |
| Male | $78(4.3)$ | $9(0.5)$ | $205(11.2)$ | $259(14.2)$ | $688(37.8)$ | $375(20.6)$ | $167(9.2)$ | 19.1 |
| Female | $25(4.7)$ | $2(0.4)$ | $56(10.5)$ | $57(10.7)$ | $179(33.5)$ | $130(24.3)$ | $63(11.8)$ | 19.8 |


| Gender | Maximum Accrual Allowance - Vacation Leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0-25 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 26-50 \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 51-75 \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 76-100 Days | 101-150 <br> Days | 151-200 <br> Days | 201+ <br> Days | Mean |
| Male | 988(54.5) | 392(21.6) | 89(4.9) | 27(1.5) | 12(0.7) | 10(0.6) | 8(0.5) | 23.5 |
| Female | 258(48.3) | 114(21.4) | 15(2.8) | 6(1.1) | 3(0.6) | 7(1.3) | 4(0.8) | 25.8 |

Finding:

- As for sick leave, vacation leave demonstrate little or no difference when arrayed by gender.

Table 7.5 Gender (Q43) and personal leave provision (Q18A/B)

| Gender | Annual Allowance - Personal Leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-3 Days | 4-6 Days | $7-10$ Days | 11-15 Days | 16-20 Days | $21-25$ Days | $26+$ Days | Mean |
| Male | $1,254(72.4)$ | $358(20.7)$ | $48(2.8)$ | $45(2.6)$ | $14(0.8)$ | $5(0.3)$ | $8(0.5)$ | 3.4 |
| Female | $339(69.5)$ | $117(24.0)$ | $19(3.9)$ | $7(1.4)$ | $2(0.4)$ | $1(0.2)$ | $3(0.6)$ | 3.3 |


| Gender | Maximum Accrual Allowance - Personal Leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0-25 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26-50 \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51-75 \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 76-100 Days | $\begin{gathered} 101-150 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151-200 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 201+ \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean |
| Male | 1,391(95.5) | 18(1.2) | 14(1.0) | 17(1.2) | 6(0.4) | 6(0.4) | 5(0.3) | 6.3 |
| Female | 359(95.7) | 3(0.8) | 4(1.1) | 4(1.1) | $2(0.5)$ | 2(0.5) | 1(0.3) | 5.8 |

## Finding:

- Similar to sick and vacation leave, no distinguishing differences are in evidence for personal leave by gender.

Table 7.6 Gender (Q43) and upon departure from the district, how is sick leave accrual handled? (Q19A-C)

| Handling of Sick Leave Accrual | Gender/Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Omit | Total | Yes | No | Omit | Total |
| Credited to Retirement | 644(37.4) | 979(56.7) | 100(5.8) | 1,790(100.0) | 205(40.8) | 271(54.0) | 26(5.2) | 502(100.0) |
| Payment Made to Super. | 810(46.7) | 834(48.1) | 90(5.2) | 1,734(100.0) | 235(46.4) | 248(49.0) | 23(4.5) | 506(100.0) |
| Credit/ <br> Payment Made at Daily Rate | 522(30.7) | 947(55.7) | 232(13.6) | 1,701(100.0) | 167(33.9) | 248(50.3) | 78(15.8) | 493(100.0) |

Finding:

- Less than 50 percent of respondent superintendents, regardless of their gender, are leveraging their sick leave into payments and one in three into retirement credits upon their departure from the district.

Table 7.7 Gender (Q43) and upon departure from the district, how is vacation leave accrual handled? (Q20A-C)

| Handling of Vacation Leave Accrual | Gender/Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Omit | Total | Yes | No | Omit | Total |
| Credited to Retirement | 244(14.2) | 1,346(78.4) | 127(7.4) | 1,717(100.0) | 77(15.6) | 378(76.5) | 39(7.9) | 494(100.0) |
| Payment Made to Super. | 921(52.9) | 709(40.7) | 111(6.4) | 1,709(100.0) | 289(57.5) | 184(36.6) | 30(6.0) | 503(100.0) |
| Credit/ <br> Payment <br> Made at <br> Daily Rate | 790(46.2) | 701(41.0) | 218(12.8) | 1,709(100.0) | 245(49.7) | 181(36.7) | 67(13.6) | 493(100.0) |

Finding:

- Not unlike sick leave, leveraging vacation leave into a payment is occurring only in about half while credit toward retirement accounts for just of one in 10.

Table 7.8 Gender (Q43) and upon departure from the district, how is personal leave accrual handled? (Q21A-C)

| Handling of Personal Leave Accrual | Gender/Response |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Omit | Total | Yes | No | Omit | Total |
| Credited to Retirement | 209(12.2) | 1,255(73.5) | 243(14.2) | 1,707(100.0) | 80(16.5) | 319(65.6) | 87(17.9) | 486(100.0) |
| Payment Made to Super. | 373(21.8) | 1,081(63.2) | 256(15.0) | 1,710(100.0) | 106(21.6) | 297(60.6) | 87(17.8) | 490(100.0) |
| Credit/ <br> Payment Made at Daily Rate | 254(15.1) | 1,002(59.6) | 425(25.3) | 1,681(100.0) | 76(15.9) | 261(54.7) | 140(29.4) | 477(100.0) |

## Finding:

- Credit or payment for personal leave upon departure is very limited.

Table 7.9 Gender (Q43) and what is the term* of your 2013-2014 employment contract? (Q14)

| Term of 2013-14 Contract | Gender |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Omit | Total |
| Less than 1-Year | $60(3.3)$ | $26(4.7)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $86(3.6)$ |
| 1 Year | $298(16.4)$ | $81(15.1)$ | $1(6.3)$ | $380(16.0)$ |
| 2 Years | $431(23.7)$ | $136(25.4)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $572(24.2)$ |
| 3 Years | $715(39.3)$ | $194(36.3)$ | $3(18.8)$ | $912(38.5)$ |
| 4 Years | $149(8.2)$ | $44(8.2)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $193(8.2)$ |
| 5 Years | $141(7.8)$ | $47(8.9)$ | $1(6.3)$ | $189(8.0)$ |
| 6 Years | $2(0.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ |
| 7 Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ |
| 8 Years | $1(0.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(0.0)$ |
| 9 Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ |
| 10+ Years | $1(0.1)$ | $1(0.2)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ |
| Omit | $20(1.1)$ | $6(1.1)$ | $6(37.5)$ | $32(1.4)$ |
| Total | $1,818(100.0)$ | $535(100.0)$ | $16(100.0)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

*Term is often determined by state law
Finding:

- When considering the term of their present contract by gender, there is little difference, with three years dominating, then falling off quickly as the number of years increases.

Table 7.10 Gender (Q43) and does your present employment contract have an incentive/ performance clause (i.e., a defined provision providing for a reward for accomplishing a predetermined task or objective)? (Q22)

| Gender | Incentive/Performance Clause |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Omitted <br> Clause | Total |
| Male | $240(13.2)$ | $1,570(86.4)$ | $8(0.4)$ | $1,818(100.0)$ |
| Female | $72(13.5)$ | $455(85.1)$ | $8(1.5)$ | $535(100.0)$ |
| Omitted Gender | $1(6.3)$ | $8(50.0)$ | $7(43.8)$ | $23(100.0)$ |
| Total | $313(13.2)$ | $2,033(85.8)$ | $23(1.0)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

## Finding:

- Incentive provisions in contracts when arrayed by gender show little difference and occur in little more than one in ten.

Table 7.11 Gender (Q43) and does your contract have a severance (buyout) clause? (Q23)

| Gender | Severance Clause |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Omitted <br> Clause | Total |
| Male | $396(21.8)$ | $1,409(77.5)$ | $13(0.7)$ | $181(100.0)$ |
| Female | $132(24.7)$ | $396(74.0)$ | $7(1.3)$ | $535(100.0)$ |
| Omitted Gender | $2(12.5)$ | $6(37.5)$ | $8(50.0)$ | $16(100.0)$ |
| Total | $530(22.4)$ | $1,811(76.5)$ | $28(1.2)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

## Finding:

- A little more than one in five males and one in four females have a severance clause in their employment agreement.

Table 7.12 Gender (Q43) and does your contract have a longevity clause (i.e., a lump sum payment you will receive for the number of years you remain in the position)? (Q24)

| Gender | Longevity Clause |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Omitted <br> Clause | Total |
| Male | $138(7.6)$ | $1,659(91.3)$ | $21(1.2)$ | $1,818(100.0)$ |
| Female | $31(5.8)$ | $496(92.7)$ | $8(1.5)$ | $535(100.0)$ |
| Omitted Gender | $1(6.3)$ | $7(43.8)$ | $8(50.0)$ | $16(100.0)$ |
| Total | $170(7.2)$ | $2,162(91.3)$ | $37(1.6)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- Compared to limited inclusion of a severance clause, longevity clauses are even less common, with somewhat more than one in 20 including such a provision.

Table 7.13 Gender (Q43) and is your contract base salary subject to a "cap" imposed by any of the following? (Q25)

| Gender | Subject to "Cap" |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes - Based on State Law | Yes - Based on District Policy, Reg. or Practice | No | Other | Omitted Cap | Total |
| Male | 117(6.4) | 55(3.0) | 1,619(89.1) | 20(1.1) | 7(0.4) | 1,818(100.0) |
| Female | 70(13.1) | 25(4.7) | 429(80.2) | 5(1.0) | 6(1.1) | 535(100.0) |
| Omitted Gender | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 7(43.8) | 1(6.3) | 8(50.0) | 16(100.0) |
| Total | 187(7.9) | 80(3.4) | 2,055(86.8) | 26(1.1) | 21(0.9) | 2,369(100.0) |

Finding:

- While relatively few caps are reported as impacting superintendents, it is notable that twice as many female superintendents than male superintendents report a cap imposed by state law.

Table 7.14 Gender (Q43) and evergreen (rollover) provision (Q15)

| Gender | Yes | No | Evergreen Provision <br> Provision | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $35(2.0)$ | 1818 |
| Male | $584(32.1)$ | $1,199(66.0)$ | $11(2.1)$ | 535 |
| Female | $159(29.7)$ | $365(68.2)$ | $7(43.8)$ | 16 |
| Omitted <br> Gender | $4(25.0)$ | $5(31.3)$ | $53(2.2)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |
| Total | $747(31.5)$ | $1,569(66.2)$ |  |  |

Finding:

- About one in three superintendents have a rollover provision, while female superintendents are less like to have that provision.

Table 7.15 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and what is the term* of your 2013-2014 employment contract? (Q14)

|  | Racial/Cultural Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Term of <br> 2013-14 <br> Contract | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> native | Asian | Black or <br> African <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> or other <br> Pacific <br> Isslander | White (not <br> Hispanic <br> or Latino) | Other | Omitted <br> Term | Total |
| Less than <br> 1-Year | $1(3.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(9.3)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $78(3.5)$ | $3(13.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $86(3.6)$ |
| 1 Year | $6(21.4)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $6(14.0)$ | $6(17.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $356(16.1)$ | $3(13.6)$ | $2(8.7)$ | $380(16.0)$ |
| 2 Years | $6(21.4)$ | $2(50.0)$ | $10(23.3)$ | $12(34.3)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $534(24.1)$ | $3(13.6)$ | $5(21.7)$ | $572(24.2)$ |
| 3 Years | $14(50.0)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $14(32.6)$ | $10(28.6)$ | $2(100.0)$ | $855(38.7)$ | $9(40.9)$ | $7(30.4)$ | $912(38.5)$ |
| 4 Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(9.3)$ | $3(8.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $183(8.3)$ | $1(4.6)$ | $2(8.7)$ | $193(8.2)$ |
| 5 Years | $1(3.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(9.3)$ | $4(11.4)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $177(8.0)$ | $2(9.1)$ | $1(4.4)$ | $189(8.0)$ |
| 6 Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.8)$ |
| 7 Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ |
| 8 Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(4.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(0.1)$ |
| 9 Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ |
| 10+ Years | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(0.1)$ |
| Omitted | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(2.3)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $24(1.1)$ | $1(4.6)$ | $6(26.1)$ | $32(1.4)$ |
| Term | $28(100.0)$ | $4(100.0)$ | $43(100.0)$ | $35(100.0)$ | $2(100.0)$ | $2,212(100.0)$ | $22(100.0)$ | $23(100.0)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |
| Total | $28(100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Term is often determined by state law
Finding:

- Discounting some of the small number respondents by racial/cultural group, there is little variance in the term of employment agreement.

Table 7.16 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and does your present employment contract have an incentive/ performance clause (i.e., a defined provision providing for a reward for accomplishing a predetermined task or objective)? (Q22)

| Incentive/ Performance Provision | Racial/Cultural Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American Indian or Alaska native | Asian | Black or <br> African American | Hispanic or Latino | Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | White (not Hispanic or Latino) | Other | Omitted Racial/ Cultural Group | Total |
| Yes | 2(7.4) | $0(0.0)$ | 5(11.6) | 6(17.1) | 0 (0.0) | 295(13.3) | 1(4.6) | 4(17.4) | 313(13.2) |
| No | 26(92.9) | 4(100.0) | 38(88.4) | 29(82.9) | 2(100.0) | 1,901(85.9) | 21(95.5) | 12(52.2) | 2,033(85.8) |
| Omit | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 16(0.7) | 0(0.0) | $7(30.4)$ | 23(0.97) |
| Total | 28(100.0) | 4(100.0) | 43(100.0) | 35(100.0) | 2(100.0) | 2,212(100.0) | 22(100.0) | 23(100.0) | 2,369(100.0) |

## Finding:

- Consistent with similar disaggregation of the data, the presence of incentive/ performance clauses appear not to favor any racial/cultural group.

Table 7.17 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and does your contract have a severance (buyout) clause? (Q23)

|  | Racial/Cultural Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severance <br> Provision | American <br> Indian <br> or Alaska <br> native | Asian | Black or <br> African <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> or other <br> Pacific <br> Islander | White (not <br> Hispanic <br> or Latino) | Other | Omitted <br> Racial/ <br> Cultural <br> Group | Total |
| Yes | $7(25.0)$ | $3(75.0)$ | $11(25.6)$ | $17(48.6)$ | $2(100.0)$ | $478(21.6)$ | $6(27.3)$ | $6(26.1)$ | $530(22.37)$ |
| No | $21(75.0)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $32(74.4)$ | $18(51.4)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1,716(77.6)$ | $15(68.2)$ | $8(34.8)$ | $1,811(76.5)$ |
| Omitted <br> Provision | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $18(0.8)$ | $1(4.6)$ | $9(39.1)$ | $28(1.2)$ |
| Total | $28(100.0)$ | $4(100.0)$ | $43(100.0)$ | $35(100.0)$ | $2(100.0)$ | $2,212(100.0)$ | $22(100.0)$ | $23(100.0)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- Where a sufficient number of respondents exists, about a quarter of superintendent respondents in each racial/cultural group had a severance provision.

Table 7.18 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and does your contract have a longevity clause (i.e., a lump sum payment you will receive for the number of years you remain in the position)? (Q24)

| Longevity Provision | Racial/Cultural Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American <br> Indian or Alaska native | Asian | Black or <br> African American | Hispanic or Latino | Native <br> Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | White (not Hispanic or Latino) | Other | Omitted <br> Racial/ Cultural Group | Total |
| Yes | 3(10.7) | 2(50.0) | 0(0.0) | 4(11.4) | 0(0.0) | 156(7.1) | 2(7.1) | 2(9.1) | 170(7.2) |
| No | 25(89.3) | 2(50.0) | 43((100.0) | 31(88.6) | 2(100.0) | 2,027(91.6) | 20(90.9) | 12(52.2) | 2,162(91.26) |
| Omitted <br> Provision | 0(0.0) | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 0(0.0) | $0(0.0)$ | 29(1.3) | 0(0.0) | 8(34.8) | 37(1.6) |
| Total | 28(100.0) | 4(100.0) | 43(100.0) | 35(100.0) | 2(100.0) | 2,212(100.0) | 22(100.0) | 23(100.0) | 2,369(100.0) |

Finding:

- As with the severance provision, only about 10 percent of the superintendent respondents by racial/cultural group have a longevity clause.

Table 7.19 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and is your contract base salary subject to a "cap" imposed by any of the following? (Q25)

| Salary Cap <br> Provision | American <br> Indian <br> or Alaska <br> native | Asian | Black or <br> African <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> or other <br> Pacific <br> Islander | White (not <br> Hispanic <br> or Latino) | Other | Omitted <br> Racial/ <br> Cultural <br> Group | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1(3.6)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $7(16.3)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $175(7.9)$ | $2(9.1)$ | $1(4.4)$ | $187(7.9)$ |
| Yes - Based <br> on District <br> Policy, Reg. <br> or Practice | $1(3.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $4(9.3)$ | $6(17.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $68(3.1)$ | $1(4.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $80(3.4)$ |
| No | $25(89.2)$ | $3(75.0)$ | $31(72.1)$ | $29(82.9)$ | $2(100.0)$ | $1,934(87.4)$ | $19(86.4)$ | $12(52.2)$ | $2,055(868)$ |
| Other | $1(3.6)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $23(1.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $2(8.7)$ | $26(1,1)$ |
| Omitted <br> Provision | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $1(2.3)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $12(0.5)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $8(34.8)$ | $21(0.9)$ |
| Total | $28(100.0)$ | $4(100.0)$ | $43(100.0)$ | $35(100.0)$ | $2(100.0)$ | $2,212(100.0)$ | $22(100.0)$ | $23(100.0)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |

Finding:

- Caps on salary do not favor any racial/cultural group.

Table 7.20 Racial/cultural group (Q44) and evergreen (rollover) provision (Q15)

| Racial/ Cultural <br> Group | Evergreen Provision |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Omit | Total |
| American Indian or <br> Alaska Native | $8(28.6)$ | $20(71.4)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 28 |
| Asian | $3(75.0)$ | $1(25.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 4 |
| Black or African <br> American | $5(11.6)$ | $35(81.4)$ | $3(7.0)$ | 43 |
| Hispanic or Latino | $8(22.8)$ | $27(77.1)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 25 |
| Native Hawaiian or <br> Pacific Islander | $1(50.0)$ | $1(50.0)$ | $0(0.0)$ | 2,212 |
| White <br> (not Hispanic) | $711(32.8)$ | $1,460(66.0)$ | $21(1.9)$ | 22 |
| Other | $7(31.8)$ | $13(59.1)$ | $2(30.1)$ | 23 |
| Omitted <br> Racial/ <br> Cultural Group | $4(17.4)$ | $12(52.2)$ | $53(2.2)$ | $2,369(100.0)$ |
| Total | $747(31.5)$ | $1,569(66.2)$ |  |  |

Finding:

- Where sufficient number of respondent superintendents exist, the presence of an evergreen provision occurred in less than one in three contracts, when arrayed by racial/cultural group.

Table 7.21 Does the governing body provide you with a district-owned vehicle? (Q37)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 318 | $13.4 \%$ |
| No | 2,022 | $85.4 \%$ |
| Omitted Response | 29 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Total | 2,369 | $100.0 \%$ |

Finding:

- There was a slight increase from last year in the percent of superintendents receiving the benefit of a district-owned vehicle, with slightly over one in 10 enjoying that benefit.


## Section \#8: Use Of Legal Counsel

Table 8.1 Did the school district use legal counsel to assist in the development and/or negotiations of your contract? (Q40)

| Responses | Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 1,275 | $53.8 \%$ |
| No | 1,074 | $45.3 \%$ |
| Omitted Response | 20 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Total | 2,369 | $100.00 \%$ |

## Finding:

- Slightly more than half of the respondent superintendents indicated that the district used a legal counsel to negotiate the superintendent's employment agreement.

Table 8.2 Did you employ legal counsel to assist in the development and/or negotiations of your contract? (Q39)

| Responses |  | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 575 | $24.3 \%$ |
| No | 1,773 | $74.8 \%$ |
| Omitted Response | 21 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Total | 2,369 | $100.0 \%$ |

Finding:

- In contrast to the use of legal counsel by the district, only about a quarter of respondent superintendents use the services of legal counsel to negotiate their own employment agreement.


## Section \#9: Memberships

Table 9.1 Which of your professional association membership dues are paid by the school district? (Q38)

| Responses | Cata* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| Business (e.g., Chamber of Commerce) | 924 | $39.0 \%$ |
| Civic (e.g., Rotary) | 808 | $34.1 \%$ |
| Regional Professional Organizations <br> (e.g., state association) | 2,193 | $92.6 \%$ |
| National Professional Organizations <br> (e.g., American Association of School <br> Administrators) | 1,695 |  |
| Omitted Response | 104 | $71.6 \%$ |
| Total | 5,724 | $4.4 \%$ |

*Multiple answers per participant possible. Percentages added may exceed 100 since a participant may select more than one answer for this question.

## Finding:

- Overwhelmingly districts support the professional membership for the superintendent.


## SECTION \#10: Survey Instrument

## General Demographics - District

Q1: Name of the school district
Q2: Zip code (five digits) which your district office is located
Q3: State (Dropdown)
Q4:2013-14 District Enrollment
Q5: How do you characterize your district? (Dropdown: Urban, Suburban, or Rural)

## Profile - Superintendent

Q6: How many years have you been employed in your present position?
(Dropdown: 0-40)
Q7: How many years of experience do you have as a superintendent?
(Dropdown: 0-40)
Q8a: What was your 2012-13 annual base salary?
Q9a: What is your present (2013-14) annual base salary?

## Workforce Profile - District

What is the estimated average annual base salary for each of the following positions in your district?

Q10a. Assistant superintendent/director of instruction (2012-13)
Q10b: Assistant superintendent/director of human resources (2012-13)
Q10c: Assistant superintendent/director of business/ finance (2012-13)
Q10d: High school principal (2012-13)
Q10e: Middle school principal (2012-13)
Q10f: Elementary school principal (2012-13)
Q10g: Teacher annual beginning base salary for 9-month teacher with bachelor's degree, no advanced degree, and no experience (2012-13)

Q11a. Assistant superintendent/director of instruction (2013-14)
Q11b: Assistant superintendent/director of human resources (2013-14)
Q11c: Assistant superintendent/director of business/ finance (2013-14)
Q11d: High school principal (2013-14)
Q11e: Middle school principal (2013-14)
Q11f: Elementary school principal (2013-14)
Q11g: Teacher annual beginning base salary for 9-month teacher with bachelor's degree, no advanced degree, and no experience (2013-14)

## Fiscal Profile - District

Q12: What is the amount of your district's 2013-2014 annual general operating budget?

Q13: What is your perception of the general economic condition in the area in which the district is located? (Dropdown: strong economic condition; stable economic condition; declining economic condition)

## Contract Term - Superintendent

Q14: What is the term of your 2013-2014 employment contract? (Dropdown: 010 Years)

Q15: Does your present employment contact have a rollover (evergreen) provision? (Dropdown: Yes No)

Q16a: How many days of sick leave are you provided annually?
Q16b: Maximum sick leave accrual for all years of employment?
Q17a: How many days of vacation leave are you provided annually?
Q17b: Maximum vacation leave accrual for all years of employment?
Q18a: How many days of personal leave are you provided annually?
Q18b: Maximum personal leave accrual for all years of employment?
Q19a: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused sick leave credited to retirement?

Q19b: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused sick leave made in the form of a payment to the superintendent?

Q19c: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused sick leave credit/payment calculated at daily rate?
Q20a: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused vacation leave credited to retirement?

Q20b: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused vacation leave made in the form of a payment to the superintendent?

Q20c: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused vacation leave credit/payment calculated at daily rate?

Q21a: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused personal leave credited to retirement?

Q21b: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused personal leave made in the form of a payment to the superintendent?

Q21c: Upon your departure from the school district, is unused personal leave credit/ payment calculated at daily rate?

Q22: Does your present employment contract have an incentive/performance clause (i.e., a defined provision providing for a reward for accomplishing a predetermined task or objective)?

Q23: Does your contract have a severance (buy out) clause?
Q24: Does your contract have a longevity clause (i.e., a lump sum payment you will receive for the number of years you remain in the position?

Q25: Is your contract base salary subject to a "cap" imposed by any of the following?
(Yes, based on state law; Yes, based on district policy, regulation, or practice; No)

## Performance Evaluation Procedures - Superintendent

Q26a: Does your employment contract require an annual performance evaluation?

Q26b: Does your employment contract specify the process, measures and indicators used for your formal performance evaluation?

Q26c: Is your formal performance evaluation linked to objectives or directions specified in the previous year's performance evaluation?

Q26d: Is your formal performance evaluation linked to a 360 degree feedback?
Q26e: Is your formal performance evaluation linked to student outcomes/performance?

Q26f: Is your formal performance evaluation made public?
Q27: How frequently are you evaluated?
(Annually; Biennially; Never; Other)

Q28: Who formally evaluates your performance? (Dropdown: Entire board; board president; board or executive committee; outside consultant; other)

## Benefits - Superintendent

Q29: Which of the following benefits are provided in your employment contract? (Check all that apply)

- Deferred compensation (promise to pay you a specific amount at some later date)
- Guaranteed vesting in a retirement plan
- Whole life insurance (accumulates value for you)
- Conference attendance with fees paid
- Support for a coach or mentor for the superintendent
- Physical exam
- Professional liability coverage
- Tuition reimbursement
- College savings plan
- Provision allowing you to engage in outside consulting
- Provision allowing you to engage in outside teaching

Q30: Is your retirement plan/system contribution based on your salary?

- Yes (please answer 31)
- No (please skip to 32)

Q31: Percentage of total retirement contribution paid by the school district (Dropdown 0-100\%)
Q32: Does the school district make a contribution to an annuity or private retirement account that you have?

- Yes, please specify the annual terms (dropdown: Less than \$1,000; \$1,000-\$5,000; \$5,000-\$10,000; More than \$10,000; Other)
- No

Q33: Does the school district contribute to the premiums on a term insurance policy apart from the insurance benefits provided for all employees?

Q34a: Does the school district pay medical/hospital insurance coverage for the superintendent?

Q34b: Does the school district pay dental insurance coverage for the superintendent?

Q34c: Does the school district pay vision/optical insurance coverage for the superintendent?

Q34d: Does the school district pay disability insurance coverage for the superintendent?

Q35a: Does the school district pay medical/hospital insurance coverage for the superintendent's family?

Q35b: Does the school district pay dental insurance coverage for the superintendent's family?

Q35c: Does the school district pay vision/optical insurance coverage for the superintendent's family?

Q35d: Does the school district pay disability insurance coverage for the superintendent's family?

Q36: Do you receive any post-retirement health insurance coverage?
Q37: Does the school district provide you with district-owned or leased vehicle?

Q38: Which of your professional association membership dues are paid by the school district? (Mark all that apply)

- Business (e.g., Chamber of Commerce)
- Civic (e.g., Rotary)
- Regional Professional Organizations (e.g., state association)
- National Professional Organizations (e.g., American Association of School Administrators)


## Contract Development and Negotiations - Superintendent

Q39: Did you employ legal counsel to assist in the development and/or negotiations of your contract?

Q40: Did the school district use legal counsel to assist in the development and/or negotiations of your contract?

Q41: Have you been rehired for your present position as superintendent after retiring in the state system?

Q42. Are you drawing retirement from one state and working as a superintendent in another state?

## Personal Information - Superintendent

Q43: Your gender?
Q44: Your race/cultural group?
Q45: Do you presently belong to the AASA, The School Superintendents Association?

Q46. One of the byproducts of this study is the collection and development of a bank of contract clauses designed to assist other superintendents craft strong contracts with their school district. Please use the space below to provide the text of the strongest or most creative element of your negotiated agreement. The research team will then assembly and distribute a bank of these contract clauses that is edited to maintain absolute secrecy regarding the superintendent who provided the information.

